Retail Trade Analysis Report Fiscal Year 2017

Black Hawk County



Iowa State University Department of Economics

Contents:

10-Year Sales Summary	2
Local Economic Conditions	3-4
Peer Group Analysis	5-6
Pull Factor Analysis	7-8
Regional Competition	9-11
Historical Trends	12
Sales by Business Group	13-15
Consumer Characteristics	16-17
Data Notes	18-21
Frequently-Asked Questions	22

About Black Hawk County:

- Black Hawk County recorded a total population of 131,090 residents in the 2010 Census, including 5,967 residents in group quarters such as skilled nursing facilities and group homes.
- Black Hawk County is part of the Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area.

Overview

This report examines local retail sales and related economic trends in Black Hawk County, Iowa, using a variety of comparative performance measures.

The retail analysis is based on state-reported sales of goods and services that are subject to Iowa's statewide sales tax. Please refer to the Data Notes section for detailed information about the types of retail activity included in taxable sales. The data notes also include definitions and guidelines for interpreting retail measures and other indicators in this report.

Except where otherwise noted, retail sales data for preceding years have been adjusted for inflation and are stated in Fiscal Year 2017 dollar equivalents. The 2017 fiscal year began on July 1, 2016, and ended on June 30, 2017.


Key Retail Indicators for Black Hawk County

Black Hawk	FY2016	FY2017	% Change
Real total taxable sales (\$)	1,934,080,190	1,899,158,804	-1.8% ▼
Number of reporting firms (annualized)	3,161	3,131	-0.9%
Population	133,124	132,731	-0.3%
Average sales per capita (\$)	14,528	14,308	-1.5% ▼
Average sales per firm (\$)	611,809	606,566	-0.9%


No distinctions are made between households and group quarters residents in the calculation of per capita sales and related indicators.

10-Year Summary Retail Sales Tax Statistics


Real Total Taxable Sales in Black Hawk County



Annualized Number of Reporting Firms in Black Hawk County



Taxable Retail Sales Per Capita



Local Economic Trends

Population

Population change is a key factor influencing local retail sales performance. From one year to the next, area population gains or losses alter the number of potential shoppers in the region. In the longer term, population trends reflect the general economic climate of the region. Population growth suggests a more favorable retail environment, while population decline may be an indication of area economic stress.

The top chart at right shows annual population estimates for Black Hawk County and the state indexed to baseline values from ten years ago. The population in any given year is expressed in percentage terms compared to the base year population.


The middle chart at right compares population change in Black Hawk County to the trend for similarly-sized counties in Iowa. See Pages 20-21 for a list of counties included in the peer group for Black Hawk County.

Average Wages

The local demand for retail goods and services also depends on the income level of area residents. Major sources of personal income include wages and salaries, returns to proprietors, investment income, and government transfer payments. Wages and salaries comprise the majority of personal income and provide the most stable indicator of local conditions. The chart at right illustrates recent, inflation-adjusted average earnings per wage and salary job in Black Hawk County and the state.


Population Trends

(Annual estimates as a percentage of 2008 population)




Population Trend for Peer Counties

(Annual estimates as a percentage of 2008 population)



Real Wages and Salaries Per Job (\$)



Employment

Area job growth creates earnings opportunities for current residents and also helps to attract new residents to the region. Conversely, lagging employment growth rates may indicate a decline in the region's competitive strength.

The chart at top right shows the 10year trend in wage and salary employment in Black Hawk County. Each year's employment, which counts full-time and parttime jobs equally, is expressed as a percentage of baseline year employment. The statewide trend is included for comparison.

The middle chart shows more recent job gains and losses in Black Hawk County. The chart illustrates the percentage gain or loss in jobs during Fiscal Year 2017 on a month-by-month basis, with each month's employment compared to the same month in the prior fiscal year.


Unemployment

Rising or persistently high levels of unemployment may contribute to household economic stress within the region and may ultimately reduce aggregate household spending levels.


The chart at right shows recent Black Hawk County and statewide unemployment rate trends. The unemployment rate is defined as the percentage of the labor force that is unemployed but actively seeking work.

Employment Trends


(Annual employment as a percentage of 2007 employment)



Recent Job Gains or Losses: Black Hawk County



Unemployment Rate (Unemployed percentage of the labor force)



Peer Group Analysis

Iowa's 99 counties vary in the level and types of retail activity they can support. A given county's retail prospects depend not only on its own population size, but also on the urbanization patterns and competitive characteristics of the surrounding area. With no two of Iowa's counties exactly alike in these respects, how might a particular county benchmark its own retail performance? Peer group analysis, which involves comparisons among a group of counties sharing similar characteristics, can provide a reasonable basis for evaluating local retail performance.

In general, a county's retail sector size and diversity tend to increase with the size and density of its population. Metropolitan counties, for example, have access to a large pool of potential customers living within a geographically concentrated area, allowing them to offer a wider range of retail goods and services than most smaller counties can support. The diversity of their retail offerings tends to attract non-resident shoppers from a broad geographic area, often at the expense of smaller counties in outlying areas. In contrast, small counties in rural areas tend to have retail sectors that serve primarily local markets.


This retail analysis report assigns all counties in Iowa to peer groups based on their metropolitan or micropolitan status and other population characteristics. Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are defined around a core city or cities that have 50,000 or more residents. Iowa has nine MSAs defined around ten core cities. These MSAs contain 21 of the state's 99 counties. Micropolitan statistical areas represent the next level down in the urban hierarchy. Micropolitan areas are defined around core cities with 10,000 to 49,999 residents. Iowa has 17 micropolitan statistical areas.

The county peer groups are defined in the following table, with the relevant peer group for Black Hawk County highlighted in blue (see Pages 20-21 for a complete list of member counties by peer group). The chart at the bottom of this page illustrates the comparative sales performance for all of the county peer groups during Fiscal Year 2017.

Peer Group Definitions

		Number of	% of State
Peer Group	Metropolitan or Micropolitan Status	Counties	Taxable Sales
Group 1	Core county of a metropolitan statistical area	10	65.0%
Group 2	Core county of a micropolitan statistical area	17	14.3%
Group 3	Non-metro county whose largest city is between 2,500 to 9,999 in population	41	14.0%
Group 4	Outlying (non-core) county in a metropolitan statistical area	11	4.1%
Group 5	Non-metro county whose largest city is less than 2,500 in population	20	2.7%

Average Sales Per Capita by County Peer Group, FY 2017



Expected Range for Local Sales Per Capita


The chart at right compares sales levels in Black Hawk County to a range of "expected," or typical, values for counties in its peer group.

The blue rectangles illustrate the range of expected values, defined as any value between the 25th to the 75th percentile values for the peer group in each year.

The red dashes show the actual per capita sales performance by Black Hawk County.

In Fiscal Year 2017, per capita sales in Black Hawk were within the expected range for its peer group.

Expected and Actual Sales Per Capita (\$)



Top 10 Peer Group Counties


Among the 10 counties in its peer group, Black Hawk ranked number 6 in per capita sales.

The peer group's top performers, measured by their average sales per capita in Fiscal Year 2017, are listed in the table at right.

Also included for comparison are the average value for all counties in the peer group and the overall statewide average per capita sales.

See Pages 20-21 for a complete listing of counties by peer group.

Average Sales Per Capita (\$), FY2017



Pull Factor Analysis

This section introduces three related measures for assessing retail sales performance: trade surplus or leakage, trade area capture, and the pull factor ratio. All three measures are based on a hypothetical "self-sufficiency" level of sales at which the county's retail sector satisfies all of the retail needs of its own residents. This hypothetical sales value might also be viewed as "break-even" level where any sales lost from non-local spending by residents are exactly offset by sales to non-residents.

Trade Surplus or Leakage

Trade surplus or leakage measures the dollar difference between the county's actual sales and the total sales it could generate if residents satisfied all their retail needs locally, i.e. its self-sufficiency or breakeven sales level. Sales above the breakeven level imply a net surplus arising from sales to non-residents. Leakage, or sales below the breakeven level, suggests that local residents' spending outside the county exceeds local firms' sales to non-residents.


Below are trade surplus or leakage estimates for Black Hawk County. To estimate the breakeven level of sales, the dollar amount of statewide average per capita spending on taxable goods and services is adjusted up or down by a factor that reflects local income characteristics, and is then multiplied by the county's population size. The breakeven sales target represents an estimate of Black Hawk County residents' total spending on taxable goods and services that are purchased anywhere within Iowa.

Black Hawk Breakeven Analysis	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16	FY17
Statewide average per capita spending (\$)	12,453	12,408	11,626	11,709	11,951	11,809	11,935	12,331	12,490	12,413
x Local income adjustment	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.97	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.96
= Average spending (anywhere) by residents (\$	12,124	12,061	11,283	11,345	11,561	11,406	11,508	11,871	12,005	11,912
x County population estimate	128,480	129,899	130,874	131,312	131,632	132,288	132,952	133,279	133,124	132,731
= Breakeven sales target (\$000s)	1,557,628	1,566,704	1,476,606	1,489,764	1,521,760	1,508,843	1,530,031	1,582,198	1,598,192	1,581,092
County actual sales (\$000s)	1,869,490	1,942,265	1,863,310	1,860,819	1,863,873	1,862,617	1,876,188	1,894,525	1,934,080	1,899,159
Surplus estimate (\$000s)	311,862	375,561	386,705	371,055	342,113	353,774	346,157	312,327	335,888	318,067
Leakage estimate (\$000s)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trade Area Capture

The extent of a county's geographic "trade area" can be approximated by estimating the number of customers whose annual retail needs it satisfies. If that number exceeds the resident population, the county's trade area likely extends beyond its borders. If below, the county's trade area likely overlaps or is subsumed by that of a nearby county.

Trade area capture is estimated by dividing the county's actual total sales by the expected average, annual retail requirements of its residents. The chart at right illustrates the county's trade area capture in relation to its population size.



The Pull Factor Ratio

A county's pull factor ratio is calculated by dividing its trade area capture measure by its resident population.

A pull factor ratio equal to 1.0 suggests that the county's merchants are just satisfying the retail demands of local residents. This is equivalent to the "break even" sales level where the county is experiencing neither a surplus or leakage of sales.

A pull factor ratio greater than 1.0 suggests that the county's merchants are attracting shoppers from outside the county. For example, a county whose retail customer base is 25 percent larger than its population would have a pull factor of 1.25.

A pull factor ratio less than 1.0 indicates that the county's retail sector cannot satisfy all of the retail needs of its own residents.

Pull factor ratios may vary widely from one county to the next, even among those in the same peer group. For any particular county, a comparison with the peer group's median pull factor value provides a reasonable performance benchmark.

The chart below shows recent trends in pull factor ratios for Black Hawk County and its peer group. The county's pull factor values are indicated with red circles.


The blue dashes indicate the median pull factor for the peer group in each year. If the county's pull factor exceeds the group median, it ranks among the top half of its peer group. If its pull factor is below the median value, then it ranks among the bottom half of counties in its peer group.

Caution is urged in the interpretation of pull factors, especially for smaller counties.

For example, a high pull factor doesn't necessarily indicate retail self-sufficiency across all categories of retail sales. A county's pull factor could be inflated by the presence of one or more retail establishments that serve as a regional draw in a particular sales category, even if the county is experiencing substantial leakage of sales in other retail categories.

Similarly, a low pull factor does not necessarily suggest untapped sales potential in the local retail sector. Most small counties should expect to lose a at least a fraction of their residents' spending to nearby metropolitan and other large trade center counties.

Pull Factor Comparison With Peer Group



Regional Competition

Counties within a region compete with each other for shares of overall regional economic activity. This section explores some of the competitive forces at work in Black Hawk County and surrounding counties. First illustrated is the county's relative importance as a trade center within the state. Next, the distribution of trade among cities within Black Hawk County is assessed. On the following page, important interactions with surrounding cities and counties are examined using data on worker commuting flows. Finally, retail trade patterns in the broader region are illustrated by comparing average per capita sales and pull factor ratios for nearby counties.

Role Within the State


The relative contributions of Black Hawk County as a trade, population, and employment center within the state of Iowa are illustrated at right. The left-most bar shows the percentage of statewide taxable sales occurring within Black Hawk County. The middle bar displays the county's percentage share of Iowa's population. The right-most bar shows the percentage of the state's jobs that are located within Black Hawk County.

Other Trade and Population Centers Within the County

The table at right lists cities within Black Hawk County that reported taxable sales during Fiscal Year 2017. Data for cities with 10 or fewer permit holders filing sales tax returns are suppressed. Sales amounts for those smaller jurisdictions are included within the "other areas in county" values.

Amounts shown for each city reflect the population and reported sales for the city as a whole, regardless of whether it crosses into a neighboring county. Any cities with reporting firms that fall within a neighboring county are indicated with an asterisk (*), and the neighboring county's portion of sales, if any, are noted below the table.

Black Hawk County Percentage Shares of Statewide Totals



Black Hawk County Jurisdictions Reporting Taxable Retail Sales in FY 2017

Area Name	Population	Average # Filers	Sales \$millions
Black Hawk Total	132,731	3,131	1,899.2
Cedar Falls	41,483	1,035	665.2
Dunkerton	839	36	9.1
Elk Run Heights	1,133	17	18.6
Evansdale	4,808	101	27.6
Gilbertville	750	23	1.4
Hudson	2,378	87	13.3
Janesville*	977	57	7.1
La Porte City	2,285	88	10.3
Raymond	820	24	4.7
Waterloo	68,186	1,657	1,142.9
Other areas in Black Hawk County		41	4.0
*Neighboring county portions		(33)	(4.9)

Commuting Patterns


Regional commuting flows represent possible sources of sales surplus or leakage for the local retail sector. Worker inflows from neighboring counties help to expand the potential customer base. When residents commute elsewhere for work, the likelihood that they will shop locally, especially during traditional business hours, decreases.

Black Hawk County Commuting Summary, 2015

The figure at right compares the relative magnitude of worker flows into and from Black Hawk County in 2015. The county had an estimated net commuting flow of 15,607 wage and salary workers. The net flow is the difference between inflows of people employed in Black Hawk County but living elsewhere and outflows of Black Hawk County residents who are employed in some other county.

The likelihood of a given resident out-commuting from Black Hawk County was 24.4% in 2015. The average rate for similar counties was 31.7%. Those out-commuting rates represent the percentage of residents in wage and salary jobs who commute to work somewhere outside their residence city.


Estimated Worker Commuting Flows To and From Black Hawk County



Key Commuting Relationships for Black Hawk County: Top 3 Sources and Destinations of Workers

Worker commuting patterns also reveal broader regional relationships that influence local economic conditions.

The chart at right identifies the top three workplace destinations for Black Hawk County residents and the top three counties supplying the greatest number of Black Hawk County workers in 2015. The chart measures these flows as percentages of the county's total workforce size and total employment, respectively.




Note: The commuting charts on this page are based on 2015 worker commuting flow data published by the U.S. Census Bureau. In cases of small place-to-place commuting flows, the Census Bureau masks the data in order to protect the confidentiality of individual workers and/or business firms. Therefore, the actual size and destinations of the county's commuting flows may differ slightly from those shown here.

Regional Trade Patterns

Regional shopping patterns may be inferred from relative trade levels in surrounding counties. The graphics on this page illustrate which counties in the region serve as regional magnets for retail trade activity.


The map at right illustrates county retail pull factors for Fiscal Year 2017 (see Page 8 for a definition of pull factors). The counties with a pull factor exceeding 1.0, identified in the map with large blue dots, are likely exerting a strong retail influence on trade centers in neighboring counties. Counties with pull factors below 1.0 are leaking sales on a county-wide basis, but might still contain one or more strong local trade centers.

County Pull Factors, Fiscal Year 2017



The bar graph below compares Fiscal Year 2017 per capita sales in Black Hawk County to average sales in neighboring counties. The comparison group includes the five counties nearest to Black Hawk County, with distance measured "as the crow flies" between county midpoints. The counties are listed from left to right in descending order by their average per capita sales. Population sizes for each county, as of the 2010 Census, are also indicated.

Neighboring County Comparison of Per Capita Retail Sales



Historical Trends in Taxable Sales

Historical retail sales statistics for Black Hawk County and the State of Iowa are presented below. Real total taxable sales and real average sales per firm and per capita have been adjusted for inflation and are shown in Fiscal Year 2017-equivalent dollars.

**NOTE: Values for Fiscal Year 2009 and later measure retail activity during a July 1-June 30 fiscal year period. Values for Fiscal Years 2008 and earlier were compiled on an April 1-March 31 fiscal year basis.

Historical Statistics for Black Hawk:

E. 17			axable Sales (\$)		age Sales (\$)		al Average (\$)
	Reporting Firms	Nominal	Real	Per Firm	Per Capita	Per Firm	Per Capita
1976	2,841	435,269,508	1,559,885,834	549,111	11,672	375,717	10,665
1977	2,942	488,562,703	1,655,435,182	562,786	12,302	387,365	11,293
1978	3,038	560,680,075	1,781,009,076	586,244	13,139	381,649	11,544
1979	3,145	598,904,843	1,765,450,528	561,307	12,964	387,066	12,060
1980	3,233	658,746,448	1,761,466,694	544,840	12,848	379,678	12,026
1981	3,352	673,136,622	1,634,414,105	487,630	11,847	337,884	10,921
1982	3,317	688,478,008	1,563,721,437	471,426	11,384	324,893	10,510
1983	3,348	673,763,757	1,459,186,098	435,806	10,713	315,827	10,389
1984	3,381	692,215,766	1,440,643,792	426,100	10,693	309,341	10,303
1985	3,420	701,204,396	1,409,508,894	412,167	10,680	305,902	10,278
1986	3,398	684,307,452	1,334,746,604	392,832	10,416	299,878	10,262
1987	3,369	710,522,013	1,356,169,829	402,603	10,848	317,113	10,705
1988	3,290	742,878,998	1,367,221,771	415,601	11,104	318,657	10,764
1989	3,301	817,874,503	1,441,995,372	436,803	11,738	323,899	10,861
1990	3,319	874,292,598	1,482,711,575	446,802	11,986	328,064	10,969
1991	3,335	924,553,881	1,503,802,305	450,983	12,042	329,548	10,907
1992	3,340	956,423,408	1,514,983,462	453,588	12,036	330,022	11,002
1993	3,416	1,008,148,867	1,555,837,748	455,489	12,293	330,326	11,139
1994	3,435	1,043,059,393	1,575,371,923	458,590	12,398	337,328	11,380
1995	3,426	1,095,252,368	1,618,533,536	472,427	12,740	344,346	11,610
1996	3,382	1,147,698,769	1,663,242,800	491,756	13,080	345,320	11,868
1997	3,379	1,199,064,484	1,701,629,578	503,590	13,364	363,023	12,063
1998	3,351	1,270,325,295	1,782,977,205	532,073	13,983	365,431	12,273
1999	3,288	1,287,652,754	1,790,005,492	544,447	14,010	391,075	12,787
2000	3,222	1,321,118,431	1,798,488,232	558,233	14,061	398,544	12,846
2001	3,215	1,377,302,376	1,830,591,455	569,347	14,314	399,420	12,884
2002	3,122	1,361,679,616	1,787,596,600	572,581	14,040	400,827	12,732
2003	2,945	1,393,924,640	1,795,467,692	609,718	14,173	418,647	12,584
2004	2,904	1,431,147,690	1,806,939,705	622,224	14,274	426,018	12,464
2005	2,916	1,477,876,496	1,818,059,661	623,477	14,332	424,322	12,391
2006	2,930	1,528,291,335	1,823,587,282	622,385	14,330	435,494	12,483
2007	3,109	1,573,506,931	1,835,817,629	590,437	14,385	427,394	12,344
2008	3,100	1,651,477,996	1,869,490,270	603,061	14,551	428,039	12,453
2009**	3,229	1,736,706,740	1,942,265,399	601,600	14,952	419,687	12,408
2010	3,206	1,683,237,902	1,863,310,485	581,195	14,237	403,123	11,626
2011	3,134	1,710,560,724	1,860,819,485	593,705	14,171	418,182	11,709
2012	3,157	1,755,167,454	1,863,873,169	590,441	14,160	426,547	11,951
2013	3,179	1,780,865,630	1,862,617,030	585,959	14,080	421,047	11,809
2014	3,134	1,819,226,681	1,876,188,071	598,608	14,112	437,791	11,935
2015	3,124	1,852,652,613	1,894,525,185	606,394	14,215	455,460	12,331
2016	3,161	1,903,918,185	1,934,080,190	611,809	14,528	462,131	12,490
2017	3,131	1,899,158,804	1,899,158,804	606,566	14,308	461,850	12,413
	÷			•	•	•	, -

Sales by Business Group

Areas of strength or weakness in the local retail sector may be revealed through a comparative analysis of sales by specific types of businesses. The following table presents taxable sales statistics by business group for Black Hawk County.

The top section shows the annualized number of reporting firms (average returns filed per quarter), taxable sales, and average sales per firm in 12 types of retail businesses. The bottom section shows sales by business group on a per capita basis. Real averages for the prior 3-year period are provided to identify areas of recent growth or decline. Median values for similar counties and statewide averages for the current fiscal year are also provided for benchmarking purposes. County data are suppressed for business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.

Sales by business group should not be confused with sales by merchandise category. The business group sales data reflect the broad business classification of the firms making the sales, not the specific goods and services that were sold. See Page 15 for a more detailed list of the types of firms included within each business group.


Black Hawk County Taxable Sales Summary by Business Group


Total Sales and Average Sales Per Firm	Black Hawk County FY17 Totals		Average S	ales Per Firm (\$)
		Reporting	Black Hawk	State of
Type of Firm	Total Sales (\$)	Firms	County	Iowa
Apparel Stores	48,983,448	77	634,090	660,275
Building Materials Stores	244,415,790	44	5,586,647	2,010,762
Eating and Drinking Establishments	208,679,507	315	663,001	560,719
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	137,424,006	124	1,108,258	1,116,757
General Merchandise Stores	265,784,073	38	6,948,603	6,099,265
Home Furnishings Stores	71,464,325	69	1,035,715	854,259
Specialty Retail Stores	174,587,230	570	306,562	218,297
Service Establishments	239,142,388	1219	196,179	169,522
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	88,760,270	377	235,595	250,669
Automotive and Related Stores	86,968,725	87	999,641	824,332
Utilities and Transportation Services	178,348,404	78	2,293,870	1,206,482
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	154,600,638	134	1,153,736	907,719

Real Sales Per Capita (\$)	Black Hawk County Trends		FY17 Bend	chmark Values
	prior 3-year average		Metropolitan	State of
Type of Firm	FY14 - FY16	FY17	Median	Iowa
Apparel Stores	408	367	361	327
Building Materials Stores	1,675	1,832	515	912
Eating and Drinking Establishments	1,564	1,564	983	1,372
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	993	1,030	986	1,122
General Merchandise Stores	2,090	1,992	1,719	1,490
Home Furnishings Stores	533	536	247	391
Specialty Retail Stores	1,354	1,309	620	982
Service Establishments	1,832	1,793	1,561	1,724
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	771	665	738	995
Automotive and Related Stores	639	652	533	607
Utilities and Transportation Services	1,228	1,337	820	1,252
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	1,178	1,159	935	1,239

Per Capita Sales by Business Group


The chart below compares per capita sales by business group in Black Hawk County with the median value for all 21 metropolitan counties in Iowa (see table on previous page for underlying data). Black Hawk County per capita values are shown with red dots. The metropolitan median values appear as blue dashes. County data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.





Distribution of Taxable Sales by Business Group

The following chart illustrates the percentage distribution of Black Hawk County and statewide total taxable sales across the major retail business groups. County data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms. Sales in suppressed categories are aggregated into a single percentage value and labeled with an asterisk (*).



Statewide Average Per Capita Sales by Detailed Business Type, FY 2017

Business Type and Per Capita Sales (\$)

Apparel Group	\$327	Services Group	\$1,724
Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores	278	Auto Repair	347
Shoe Stores	48	Hotels and All Other Lodging Places	309
		Other Business Services	225
Automotive and Related Firms	\$607	Arts and Entertainment	196
New and Used Car Dealers	306	Beauty/Barber Shops	131
Automotive Parts and Accessories	216	Miscellaneous Repairs	107
Recreational and All Other Motorized Vehicles	85	Other Personal Services	82
		Auto Rental and Storage	6o
Building Materials Group	\$912	Motion Picture and Video Industries	50
Building Material Dealers	665	Laundry and Floor Cleaning	41
Hardware Stores	127	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Leasing	40
Garden Supply Stores	82	Electronic and Precision Equipment Repair & Maintenance	38
Paint and Glass Stores	36	Other Services	29
Mobile Home Dealers	2	Funeral Service and Crematories	22
		Education and Athletic Events	20
Eating and Drinking Places Group	\$1,372	Photographic Studios	14
Restaurants, Taverns, and Bars	1,372	Employment Services	10
		Upholstery and Furniture Repair	2
Food Dealers Group	\$1,122	Watch, Clock, Jewelry Repair	О
Grocery Stores and Convenience Stores	563	Footwear and Leather Repair	О
Gas Stations/Convenience Stores With Gas	542		
Specialized Groceries	17	Miscellaneous Group	\$995
		Plumbing and Heating Contractors	151
General Merchandise Group	\$1,490	General Contractors	141
Department Stores	955	Agricultural Production and Services	136
Miscellaneous Merchandise Stores	530	Other Special Trade Contractors	107
Variety Stores	5	Industrial Equipment Manufacturers	92
		Miscellaneous Manufacturers	56
Home Furnishings And Appliances Group	\$391	Food Manufacturers	55
Appliances and Entertainment Equipment	150	Electrical Contractors	54
Furniture Stores	143	Non-Metallic Product Manufacturers	54
Home Furnishing Stores	98	Furniture, Wood and Paper Manufacturers	38
		Publishers Of Books & Newspapers and Commercial Printers	33
Specialty Retail Stores Group	\$982	Carpentry Contractors	27
Other Specialty	302	Unclassified	25
Sporting Goods	176	Mining	13
Beauty and Health (Includes Pharmacies & Drug Stores)	166	Painting Contractors	11
Direct Sellers	70	Apparel and Textile Manufacturers	1
Hobby and Toy	61		
Jewelry	56	Wholesale Goods Group	\$1,239
Book and Stationery Stores	42	(retail sales by wholesale firms)	1,239
Used Merchandise Stores	25		
Stationery, Gift, Novelty	25	Utilities and Transportation Group	\$1,252
Vending Machine Operators	21	Electric and Gas	502
Liquor Stores	18	Communications	481
Florists	14	Water and Sanitation	202
Fuel and Ice Dealers	1	Transportation and Warehousing	67
Electronic Shopping and Mail Order Houses	1		
		All Business Groups	\$12,413

Consumer Characteristics

National Spending Patterns by Income and Age

Consumer spending patterns vary with the age, income level, and other characteristics of the consumer. The chart at right illustrates differences in U.S. consumer spending on a selected bundle of goods and services that are taxable in Iowa. The retail bundle includes food away from home, telecommunications services, household supplies and furnishings, apparel, entertainment, automobile repair and maintenance, and personal services.

In the chart, average annual spending levels of consumers within each group are expressed as percentages of the all-consumer average. Differences are most apparent by income level, with persons in the highest household income quintile spending more than twice the average of persons in the lowest income quintile. Per person spending also tends to increase with householder age, but drops slightly among residents of elderly households.


Local Income and Age Distributions

Recent county-level statistics may be used to profile the income and age distributions of area residents. If the county deviates strongly from statewide averages on these measures, one might expect some differences in local residents' spending compared to the average spending levels by all Iowa residents.

The table at right shows the county's median household income level and estimated poverty rate compared to the state. A lower median income level, a higher poverty rate, or both suggest that the percentage of county residents in low income brackets exceeds the statewide average. In these cases, comparatively lower retail spending levels may be anticipated locally.

The bottom half of the table illustrates the percentage distribution of the county's population by age group in years, relative to the comparable statewide percentages. Strong differences in the regional age distribution likely affect both the mix and levels of retail goods and services demanded by area residents.

U.S. Consumer Spending on Selected Goods and Services That are Taxable in Iowa, by Type of Consumer



Black Hawk County Profile

Median Household Income (\$)	Black Hawk		State of Iowa
Estimate	50,887	◀	56,354
90% Confidence Interval	47,850 - 53,930		55,680 - 57,030

Poverty Rate (%)	Black Hawk	State of Iowa
Estimate	16.1	11.7
90% Confidence Interval	14.3 - 17.9	11.4 - 12.0

Population (% of total)	Black Hawk		State of Iowa
Under 5 years	6.5%	•	6.4%
Age 5 to 17	15.1%	◀	16.9%
Age 18 to 24	15.5%	•	10.3%
Age 25 to 44	23.9%	4	24.3%
Age 45 to 64	23.5%	⋖	25.7%
Age 65 years and over	15.5%	4	16.4%
Median age	35.0	4	38.0

Higher than state


Lower than state

Other Factors Influencing Retail Sales

Inflation

The rate of inflation measures changes over time in the purchasing power of the dollar. When price levels rise faster than earnings and other income, consumers may have to reduce or reallocate their spending.


The pace of U.S. inflation during the last 10 years is illustrated at right. This chart shows quarterly changes in the Midwest Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, using first quarter of 2008 as the benchmark period.



Consumer Confidence

Consumer confidence refers to how favorably consumers view prospects for the economy and their own financial situation. Pessimism about the economy can have a dampening effect on household discretionary purchases, while optimism can boost the likelihood of purchases.

The chart at right illustrates a quarterly index of consumer confidence benchmarked to the first quarter of 2008. Source data were obtained from the Index of Consumer Sentiment, University of Michigan Surveys of Consumers, via the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.



Internet and Catalog Sales

E-commerce represents a rapidly-growing share of retail activity in the United States. While presenting a potential sales growth channel for many retailers, e-commerce also poses a threat as yet another source of sales leakage from Iowa's communities.

The chart at right shows the growing share of total U.S. retail sales that are transacted through e-commerce. E-commerce, which includes internet and catalog sales, describes transactions in which an order is placed and/or price and terms of sale are negotiated over an internet or other online system.

E-Commerce Sales in the U.S. (as a Percentage of Total Retail Sales) FY08 FY09 FY10 FY11 FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15 FY16 FY17 3.6% 3.8% 4.2% 4.7% 5.1% 5.6% 6.1% 6.8% 7.7% 8.5%

Data Notes and Definitions

Iowa's Retail Sales Tax Reporting

The state of Iowa imposes a tax on the gross receipts from sales of taxable tangible personal property and taxable services. In general, merchandise goods are taxable unless specifically exempted and services are taxable if specifically enumerated by the state.

Retailers file sales tax returns to the Iowa Department of Revenue on a semimonthly, monthly, quarterly, or annual basis depending on their amount of sales.

The Department of Revenue compiles the data from sales tax returns and publishes quarterly and annual retail sales tax reports that provide the primary source of data for this report.

Iowa's sales tax reporting process may lead to occasional anomalies in retail sales data reported at the local level. The state compiles these data primarily for fiscal management purposes, and only secondarily for analytical purposes.

Certain accounting and other administrative constraints may result in the under-reporting or no reporting of sales activity for individual communities.

Confidentiality. In order to protect the confidentiality of individual filers, the Iowa Department of Revenue only reports data from localities with a minimum of 10 tax returns filed for a quarter or 40 returns per year. Sales data for localities not meeting this threshold level are reported for the county in which they are located.

Recent changes in the administration of Iowa's sales tax include the following:

July 1, 2004. Iowa revised its sales tax laws to meet Streamlined Sales Tax Project (SSTP) requirements. SSTP improves uniformity in sales tax laws across states, thereby encouraging businesses to collect and remit sales tax in every state in which they make taxable sales.

- January 1, 2006. The tax on certain types of energy was reduced to 0% after a 4-year phased decline.
- July 1, 2008. Iowa's sales tax rate increased from 5% to 6%.
- July 1, 2008. The Iowa Department of Revenue adopted a new fiscal year reporting period to align with the state fiscal year that runs from July 1 through June 30 of each year.
- July 1, 2013. The Iowa Department of Revenue changed the business class assignment for approximately 12 percent of Iowa's retailers.
- July 1, 2013. Taxable sales in the Convenience Stores and Gas Stations business class were reclassified from the Automotive and Related Group to the Food Dealers Group.

Notable Exemptions and Exclusions from Iowa's Retail Sales Tax

Many retail transactions, because they are exempt or otherwise excluded from the state's sales tax, are not included in the taxable sales values reported in this report. Following are some notable exemptions from Iowa's sales tax. More detailed documentation is available from the Iowa Department of Revenue.

Exempt or Excluded Goods. Goods that are exempt from the sales tax include certain foods used for home consumption, prescription drugs, and medical devices. Sales of gasoline, subject to a separate fuel tax, are excluded from taxable retail sales. Taxable retail sales also exclude the sale or lease of new or used vehicles that are subject to registration. Vehicle purchases are taxed separately under the state's one-time registration fee.

Exempt Services. Unlike tangible goods, services are exempt from tax unless specifically enumerated. Professional

services such as medical and legal services are not subject to the sales tax.

Utilities. The state has phased out taxes on sales of metered gas, electricity, and fuel used as energy in residential dwellings, apartment units and condominiums. Specific exemptions may also apply to certain businesses and industries.

Sales to Agriculture, Manufacturing, and Other Industries. The state exempts sales of many goods and services that are used as inputs to agriculture and other industrial processes.

Sales tax exemptions for agriculture apply to the purchase of feed, seed, fertilizer, farm machinery and equipment, fuels and utilities, and some services.

Exemptions to manufacturing include purchases of tangible inputs that become an integral part of manufactured goods ultimately sold at retail; fuels, chemicals, and other inputs that are consumed during production processes; industrial machinery, equipment, and some computer equipment; and many services.

The state has created additional exemptions targeted toward specific industries such as wind energy and information technology. See the Department of Revenue Web site for more detailed information about exempt sales to industry and business.

Sales to Tax-Exempt Organizations.

Local and state government entities are exempt from the sales tax. Sales to private nonprofit educational institutions for educational purposes are also exempt. Sales from fund-raising activities are exempt from sales tax if the proceeds are used for educational, religious, or charitable purposes.

Cautions for Interpreting Reported Sales Data

Non-Taxable Goods & Services. The sales information presented in this report provides only a partial picture of retail and service sector activity in Iowa's communities, due in part to the data reporting practices and sales tax exemptions listed on the previous page.

Large Public Institutions. The presence of large public institutions such as correctional facilities or universities may distort local sales measures, as their institutional purchases are excluded from taxable sales but their residents are included in local population estimates.

Sales or Service Territories. Reported sales values in some areas may appear inflated if they are home to the business office or headquarters of a firm with a broad, geographically-defined service territory such as a rural telecommunications or cable television provider.

Definitions of Retail Measures

Retail Sales. This term refers to the reported sales of goods and services that are subject to Iowa's retail sales tax.

Reporting Firms. This value reflects the average number of tax returns filed per quarter during the year, and it serves as a proxy for the number of local retail firms.

Real Sales. "Real" dollar values have been standardized to reflect the purchasing power of a dollar in the current fiscal year, thus removing the effects of price inflation.

Nominal Sales. Nominal sales are the dollar amounts reported in the year the transactions actually took place. These values have not been adjusted for inflation.

Sales Per Firm. Per firm sales are calculated by dividing the annual dollar value of sales by the average number of reporting firms in that year.

Sales Per Capita. Per capita (or "per person") sales are calculated by dividing the dollar value of sales by the estimated population for the subject place, including group quarters residents.

Expected Per Capita Spending. An expected value for residents' average spending on taxable retail goods and services is used in the calculation of trade surplus and leakage, trade area capture, and pull factor values. This measure is sensitive to local income levels. For more information on the derivation of this measure, please contact the author.

Sales by Business Group. Sales tabulations by business group describe the types of firms where retail transactions occurred. They do *not* describe the type of merchandise that was sold.

Other Data Notes

City-to-County Assignments: The incorporated territory of many Iowa cities crosses the boundaries of two or more counties. For this report, all cities are assigned to the county that contained the greatest percentage of its population in the 2010 Census.

Commuting Flows: Local Employment Dynamics Program, U.S. Census Bureau. These commuting flows describe the place of work and place of residence of wage and salary workers in 2015. Self-employed individuals such as sole proprietors and partners are excluded from these data.

Consumer Spending Patterns:

Consumer Expenditure Survey, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Consumer Sentiment: Surveys of Consumers, University of Michigan, University of Michigan: Consumer Sentiment©, retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/UMCSENT, 03/01/18.

E-commerce Sales: US. Bureau of the Census, E-Commerce Retail Sales as a Percent of Total Sales, retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/ECOMPCTSA, 03/01/18.

Employment: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (annual) and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (monthly). Employment includes full-time and part-time jobs, with all jobs counted equally.

Household Income and Poverty: Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau.

Inflation Rate: Midwest Region Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, All Items, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Average Wages and Salaries per Job: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Population: Iowa State University estimates, based on data released through the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau. With each annual data release, the U.S. Census Bureau may revise its estimates from prior years. This report incorporates the most recently available estimates and revisions. Population-based statistics published in this report may not reconcile with those appearing in earlier retail trade analysis reports. In most cases, the discrepancies are minor.

Price Deflators: Except where otherwise noted in this report, the dollar values for all retail sales and personal income data have been adjusted for inflation using the Implicit Price Deflator for Personal Consumption Expenditures published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Unemployment: Local Area Unemployment Statistics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

County Peer Group Definitions

	County Name	2010 Population	Metropolitan or Micropolitan Statistical Area Name
	Black Hawk	131,090	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Dallas	66,135	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Dubuque	93,653	Dubuque, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Johnson	130,882	Iowa City, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Linn	211,226	Cedar Rapids, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Polk	430,640	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Pottawattamie		
		93,158	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Scott	165,224	Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Story	89,542	Ames, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Woodbury	102,172	Sioux City, IA-NE-SD Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Boone	26,306	Boone, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Buena Vista	20,260	Storm Lake, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Carroll	20,816	Carroll, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Cerro Gordo	44,151	Mason City, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Clay	16,667	Spencer, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Clinton	49,116	Clinton, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Des Moines	40,325	Burlington, IA-IL Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Dickinson	16,667	Spirit Lake, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Jasper	36,842	Newton, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Jefferson	16,843	Fairfield, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Lee	35,862	Fort Madison-Keokuk, IA-IL-MO Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Mahaska	22,381	Oskaloosa, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Marion	33,309	Pella, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Marshall	40,648	Marshalltown, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Muscatine	42,745	Muscatine, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Wapello	35,625	Ottumwa, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Webster	38,013	Fort Dodge, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Allamakee	1/ 220	None (not part of a motropolitan or micropolitan area)
		14,330	None (not part of a metropolitan or micropolitan area)
	Appanoose	12,887	None
	Buchanan	20,958	None
	Cass	13,956	None
	Cedar	18,499	None
	Cherokee	12,072	None
	Chickasaw	12,439	None
	Clarke	9,286	None
2	Crawford	17,096	None
	Delaware	17,764	None
	Emmet	10,302	None
	Fayette	20,880	None
(continues next	Floyd	16,303	None
page)	Franklin	10,680	None
page)	Greene	9,336	None
	Hamilton	15,673	None
	Hancock	11,341	None
	Hardin		
		17,534	None
	Henry	20,145	None
	Howard	9,566	None
	Humboldt	9,815	None

County Peer Group Definitions

	County Name	2010 Population	Metropolitan or Micropolitan Statistical Area Name
	lowa	16,355	None (not part of a metropolitan or micropolitan area)
	Jackson	19,848	None
	Kossuth	15,543	None
	Lucas	8,898	None
(continued from	Mitchell	10,776	None
	Monona	9,243	None
previous page)	Monroe	7,970	None
	Montgomery	10,740	None
	O'Brien	14,398	None
	Osceola	6,462	None
3	Page	15,932	None
	Palo Alto	9,421	None
	Poweshiek	18,914	None
	Shelby	12,167	None
	Sioux	33,704	None
	Tama	17,767	None
	Union	12,534	None
	Winnebago	10,866	None
	Winneshiek	21,056	None
	Wright	13,229	None
	vviigiit	13,229	NOTE
	Benton	26,076	Cedar Rapids, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Bremer	24,276	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Grundy	12,453	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Guthrie	10,954	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Harrison	14,928	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
4	Jones	20,638	Cedar Rapids, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Madison	15,679	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Mills	15,059	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Plymouth	24,986	Sioux City, IA-NE-SD Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Warren	46,225	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Washington	21,704	Iowa City, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Adair	7,682	None (not part of a metropolitan or micropolitan area)
	Adams	•	None (not part of a metropolitan of micropolitan area)
	Audubon	4,029	
		6,119	None
	Butler	14,867	None
	Clautan	9,670	None
	Clayton	18,129	None
	Davis	8,753	Ottumwa, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Decatur	8,457	None
	Fremont	7,441	None
5	Ida	7,089	None
	Keokuk	10,511	None
	Louisa	11,387	None
	Lyon	11,581	None
	Pocahontas	7,310	None
	Ringgold	5,131	None
	Sac	10,350	None
	Taylor	6,317	None
	Van Buren	7,570	None
	Wayne	6,403	None
	Worth	7,598	Mason City, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area

Iowa State University Department of Economics

For more information about this report, please contact:

Liesl Eathington Phone: 515-294-2954 Fax: 515-294-0221 E-mail: leathing@iastate.edu

> 175 Heady Hall Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011

Find these retail reports, along with other economic and demographic profiles for Iowa's communities,

online at:

www.icip.iastate.edu



Frequently-Asked Questions

Following are some of the most frequently-asked questions about the content of this report:

What happened to the detailed business group sales data for cities? Long-time users of the Iowa State University (ISU) Retail Trade Analysis reports may notice the absence of city-level sales data by type of business. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2009, the Iowa Department of Revenue ceased publication of detailed business group data at the individual city level in its Annual Retail Sales and Use Tax Report. As a consequence, the ISU Retail Trade Analysis reports now provide analysis of business group sales at the county and state levels only. Subject to strict disclosure limitations, the Iowa Department of Revenue may provide detailed categorical sales data for individual cities upon request.

Why do historical data in this report differ from previously-published ISU retail reports? The underlying population and income data used in this report are subject to backward revision by the U.S. Census Bureau and sister agencies, meaning that historical data are revised as new information becomes available. Any revisions to population and income estimates may result in re-statement of per capita retail sales, pull factors, and related measures for prior years. This report incorporates the most recently-revised statistics, and no effort is made to reconcile the historical data with prior versions of the ISU Retail Trade Analysis reports.

Are the retail sales statistics fully comparable over time? Users should note that retail statistics in this report describe only taxable, not total, retail sales. Changes to Iowa's sales tax laws have redefined the mix of goods and services included within taxable sales transactions over time. Changes in sales tax reporting practices may also complicate analysis of historical trends at the local or statewide level. Notable recent changes include the following:

- Iowa Department of Revenue reassigned more than 10 percent of Iowa's retailers to different business class codes that better reflect their business focus (FY 2014).
- Iowa Department of Revenue reclassified gasoline stations with convenience stores from the automotive and related group to the food dealers group (FY 2014).

These reclassifications should be noted when comparing sales by business group before and after FY 2014.

Are the pull factors and other retail measures adjusted for differences in local income? Yes. In calculating local pull factor ratios and estimating trade surplus/leakage values, this report incorporates small area income data available from the American Community Survey (ACS), U.S. Census Bureau. Contact the author for more detailed information about the methodology used for income adjustments.

Acknowledgements

For more than three decades, Iowa State University has provided analysis and outreach services to describe retail trade patterns in Iowa's cities and counties. In producing this report, we acknowledge the pioneering work of Kenneth E. Stone, now Professor Emeritus, in applied community retail trade analysis.

This project was supported with funding from the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station, the research program directed by the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at Iowa State University.

Iowa State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, age, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, sex, marital status, disability, or status as a U.S. veteran. Inquiries can be directed to the Director of Equal Opportunity and Diversity, 3680 Beardshear Hall, (515) 294-7612.