


Retail Trade Analysis Report

Fiscal Year 2016

Burlington



Iowa State University
Department of Economics

Contents:

10-Year Sales Summary	2
Local Economic Conditions	3-4
Peer Group Analysis	5-6
Pull Factor Analysis	7-8
Regional Competition	9-11
Historical Trends	12
Sales by Business Group	13-15
Consumer Characteristics	16-17
Data Notes	18-22
Frequently-Asked Questions	23

Overview

This report examines local retail sales and related economic trends in Burlington, Iowa, using a variety of comparative performance measures.

The retail analysis is based on state-reported sales of goods and services that are subject to Iowa's statewide sales tax. Please refer to the Data Notes section for detailed information about the types of retail activity included in taxable sales. The data notes also include definitions and guidelines for interpreting retail measures and other indicators in this report.

Except where otherwise noted, retail sales data for preceding years have been adjusted for inflation and are stated in Fiscal Year 2016 dollar equivalents. The 2016 fiscal year began on July 1, 2015, and ended on June 30, 2016.

About Burlington:

- Burlington is located within Des Moines County, Iowa.
- Burlington is part of the Burlington, IA-IL Micropolitan Statistical Area.
- Burlington recorded a total population of 25,663 residents in the 2010 Census, including 495 residents in group quarters such as skilled nursing facilities and group homes.


Key Retail Indicators for Burlington

Burlington	FY2015	FY2016	% Change
Real total taxable sales (\$)	337,731,749	339,587,030	0.5% ▲
Number of reporting firms (annualized)	717	722	0.7% ▲
Population	25,459	25,492	0.1% ▲
Average sales per capita (\$)	13,266	13,321	0.4% ▲
Average sales per firm (\$)	471,199	470,505	-0.1% ▼


No distinctions are made between households and group quarters residents in the calculation of per capita sales and related indicators.

10-Year Summary Retail Sales Tax Statistics


Real Total Taxable Sales in Burlington



Annualized Number of Reporting Firms in Burlington



Taxable Retail Sales Per Capita



Local Economic Trends

Population

Population change is a key factor influencing local retail sales performance. From one year to the next, area population gains or losses alter the number of potential shoppers in the region. In the longer term, population trends reflect the general economic climate of the region. Population growth suggests a more favorable retail environment, while population decline may be an indication of area economic stress.


The top chart at right shows annual population estimates for Burlington, Des Moines County and the state indexed to baseline values from ten years ago. The population in any given year is expressed in percentage terms compared to the base year population.

The middle chart at right compares population change in Burlington to the trend for similarly-sized cities in Iowa. See Pages 20-22 for a list of cities included in the peer group for Burlington.


Average Wages

The local demand for retail goods and services also depends on the income level of area residents. Major sources of personal income include wages and salaries, returns to proprietors, investment income, and government transfer payments. Wages and salaries comprise the majority of personal income and provide the most stable indicator of local conditions. The chart at right illustrates recent, inflation-adjusted average earnings per wage and salary job in Des Moines County and the state.


Population Trends
(Annual estimates as a percentage of 2007 population)



Population Trend for Peer Cities
(Annual estimates as a percentage of 2007 population)



Real Wages and Salaries Per Job (\$)




Employment

Area job growth creates earnings opportunities for current residents and also helps to attract new residents to the region. Conversely, lagging employment growth rates may indicate a decline in the region's competitive strength.


The chart at top right shows the 10-year trend in wage and salary employment in Des Moines County. Each year's employment, which counts full-time and part-time jobs equally, is expressed as a percentage of baseline year employment. The statewide trend is included for comparison.

The middle chart shows more recent job gains and losses in Des Moines County. The chart illustrates the percentage gain or loss in jobs during Fiscal Year 2016 on a month-by-month basis, with each month's employment compared to the same month in the prior fiscal year.

Employment Trends
(Annual employment as a percentage of 2006 employment)



Recent Job Gains or Losses: Des Moines County




Unemployment

Rising or persistently high levels of unemployment may contribute to household economic stress within the region and may ultimately reduce aggregate household spending levels.

The chart at right shows recent Des Moines County and statewide unemployment rate trends. The unemployment rate is defined as the percentage of the labor force that is unemployed but actively seeking work.

Unemployment Rate
(Unemployed percentage of the labor force)



Peer Group Analysis

Iowa's 946 cities vary in the level and types of retail activity they can support. A given city's retail prospects depend not only on its own population size, but also on the urbanization patterns and competitive characteristics of the surrounding area. With no two of Iowa's cities exactly alike in these respects, how might a particular community benchmark its own retail performance? Peer group analysis, which involves comparisons among a group of cities sharing similar characteristics, can provide a reasonable basis for evaluating local retail performance.

In general, retail sector size and diversity tends to increase with community size and population density of the surrounding area. Metropolitan cities, for example, have access to a large pool of potential customers living within a geographically concentrated area, allowing them to offer a wider range of retail goods and services than most smaller communities can support. The diversity of their retail offerings tends to attract non-resident shoppers from a broad geographic area, often at the expense of smaller communities in outlying areas. In contrast, small communities located in remote, rural locations tend to have retail sectors that serve primarily local markets.

This retail analysis report assigns all cities in Iowa to peer groups based on their population size and the urbanization characteristics of their host county. The peer groups are listed in the following table, with the relevant peer group for Burlington highlighted in blue (see Pages 20-22 for a complete list of member cities by peer group). The chart at the bottom of this page illustrates the comparative sales performance for all of the city peer groups during Fiscal Year 2016.

Peer Group Definitions

Peer Group	City Population Size	Metropolitan Status of the County	Number of Cities	% of State Taxable Sales
Group 1	10,000 or greater	Core county of a metropolitan statistical area (MSA)	21	60.0%
Group 2	10,000 or greater	Non-core MSA county or non-metropolitan county	17	12.7%
Group 3	2,500 to 9,999	Non-metropolitan county	62	11.7%
Group 4	2,500 to 9,999	Metropolitan county	33	5.1%
Group 5N	500 to 2,499	Non-metropolitan county, not adjacent to a MSA	102	2.7%
Group 5A	500 to 2,499	Non-metropolitan county, adjacent to a MSA	117	2.7%
Group 6	500 to 2,499	Metropolitan county	105	2.6%
Group 7	250 to 499	Any county	176	1.1%
Rest of State		Any county		1.5%

Average Sales Per Capita by City Peer Group, FY 2016




Expected Range for Local Sales Per Capita

The chart at right compares sales levels in Burlington to a range of “expected,” or typical, values for cities in its peer group.

The blue rectangles illustrate the range of expected values, defined as any value between the 25th to the 75th percentile values for the peer group in each year.

The red dashes show the actual per capita sales performance by Burlington.

In Fiscal Year 2016, per capita sales in Burlington were within the expected range.




Top 10 Peer Group Cities Ranked by Sales Per Capita

Among the 17 peer cities reporting data in the most recent fiscal year, Burlington ranked number 13 in per capita sales.

The peer group’s top performers, measured by their average sales per capita in Fiscal Year 2016, are listed in the chart at right.

Sales levels in some cities may be inflated by the presence of a regional utility or other regional retail anomaly that may not be replicable in other communities. In general, values exceeding the peer group average by two or more standard deviations should be viewed with caution. Any such cities are indicated at right with an asterisk (*).



See Pages 20-22 for a complete listing of cities by peer group.

Pull Factor Analysis

This section introduces three related measures for assessing retail sales performance: trade surplus or leakage, trade area capture, and the pull factor ratio. All three measures are based on a hypothetical “self-sufficiency” level of sales at which the city’s retail sector satisfies all of the retail needs of its own residents. This hypothetical sales value might also be viewed as “break-even” level where any sales lost from non-local spending by residents are exactly offset by sales to non-residents.

Trade Surplus or Leakage

Trade surplus or leakage measures the dollar difference between the city’s actual sales and the total sales it could generate if residents satisfied all their retail needs locally, i.e. its self-sufficiency or breakeven sales level. Sales above the breakeven level imply a net surplus arising from sales to non-residents. Leakage, or sales below the breakeven level, suggests that local residents’ spending outside the city exceeds local firms’ sales to non-residents.

Below are trade surplus or leakage estimates for Burlington. To estimate the breakeven level of sales, the dollar amount of statewide average per capita spending on taxable goods and services is adjusted up or down by a factor that reflects local income characteristics, and is then multiplied by the city’s population size. The breakeven sales target represents an estimate of Burlington residents’ total spending on taxable goods and services that are purchased anywhere within Iowa.


Burlington Breakeven Analysis	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11	FY12	FY13	FY14	FY15	FY16
Statewide average per capita spending (\$)	12,154	12,260	12,216	11,445	11,524	11,759	11,619	11,743	12,126	12,281
<i>x Local income adjustment</i>	0.94	0.93	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90
= Average spending (anywhere) by residents (\$)	11,425	11,462	11,358	10,582	10,595	10,751	10,563	10,614	10,898	11,038
<i>x City population estimate</i>	25,554	25,572	25,637	25,635	25,536	25,538	25,615	25,576	25,459	25,492
= Breakeven sales target (\$000s)	291,944	293,094	291,174	271,263	270,563	274,554	270,570	271,473	277,463	281,377
City actual sales (\$000s)	324,191	322,262	328,731	316,920	316,217	322,432	309,317	314,132	337,732	339,587
Surplus estimate (\$000s)	32,246	29,167	37,556	45,657	45,654	47,878	38,748	42,660	60,268	58,210
Leakage estimate (\$000s)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Trade Area Capture

The extent of a city’s “trade area” can be approximated by estimating the number of customers whose annual retail needs it satisfies. If that number exceeds the resident population, the city’s geographic trade area likely extends beyond its borders. If below, the city’s trade area likely overlaps or is subsumed by that of a nearby community.

Trade area capture is estimated by dividing the city’s actual total sales by the expected average, annual retail requirements of its residents. The chart at right illustrates the city’s trade area capture in relation to its population size.

Estimated Trade Area Capture
(annualized number of shoppers)



The Pull Factor Ratio

A city's pull factor ratio is calculated by dividing its trade area capture measure by its resident population.

A pull factor ratio equal to 1.0 suggests that the city's merchants are just satisfying the retail demands of local residents. This is equivalent to the "break even" sales level where the city is experiencing neither a surplus or leakage of sales.

A pull factor ratio greater than 1.0 suggests that the city's merchants are attracting shoppers from outside the city. For example, a city whose retail customer base is 25 percent larger than its population would have a pull factor of 1.25.

A pull factor ratio less than 1.0 indicates that the city's retail sector cannot satisfy all of the retail needs of its own residents.

Pull factor ratios may vary widely from one city to the next, even among cities in the same peer group. For any particular city, a comparison with the peer group's median pull factor value provides a reasonable performance benchmark.

The chart below shows recent trends in pull factor ratios for Burlington and its peer group. The city's pull factor values are indicated with red circles.


The blue dashes indicate the median pull factor for the peer group in each year. If the city's pull factor exceeds the group median, it ranks among the top half of its peer group. If its pull factor is below the median value, then it ranks among the bottom half of cities in its peer group.

Caution is urged in the interpretation of pull factors, especially for smaller communities.

For example, a high pull factor doesn't necessarily indicate retail self-sufficiency across all categories of retail sales. A city's pull factor could be inflated by the presence of one or more retail establishments that serve as a regional draw in a particular sales category, even if the city is experiencing substantial leakage of sales in other retail categories.

Similarly, a low pull factor does not necessarily suggest untapped sales potential in the local retail sector. Most small cities should expect to lose at least a fraction of their residents' spending to larger trade centers.

Pull Factor Comparison With Peer Group




Regional Competition

Communities within a region compete with each other for shares of overall regional economic activity. This section explores some of the competitive forces at work in the area surrounding Burlington. First, the distribution of trade among cities in Des Moines County is assessed. Next, important interactions with surrounding cities and counties are examined using data on worker commuting flows. Finally, retail trade patterns in the broader region are illustrated by comparing average per capita sales and pull factor ratios for nearby cities and counties.

Role Within the County

The relative contributions of Burlington as a trade and population center within Des Moines County are illustrated at right. The left-most chart shows the percentage of Des Moines County taxable sales occurring within the city of Burlington. The right-most chart displays the percentage of Des Moines County residents who live within Burlington.



Other Trade and Population Centers Within the County

The table at right lists cities in Des Moines County with reported taxable sales activity during Fiscal Year 2016. Data for cities with 10 or fewer permit-holders filing sales tax returns are suppressed. Sales amounts for those smaller jurisdictions are included within the “other areas in county” values.

Amounts shown for each city reflect the population and reported sales for the city as a whole, regardless of whether it crosses into a neighboring county. Any cities with reporting firms that fall within a neighboring county are indicated with an asterisk (*), and the neighboring county’s portion of sales, if any, are noted below the table.

**Des Moines County Jurisdictions Reporting
Taxable Retail Sales in FY 2016**

Area Name	Population	Average # Filers	Sales \$millions
Des Moines County Total	39,862	1,105	590.1
Burlington	25,492	722	339.6
Danville	942	40	3.5
Mediapolis	1,590	79	18.7
West Burlington	3,065	213	220.5
Other areas in Des Moines County		52	7.8

Commuting Patterns


Regional commuting flows represent possible sources of sales surplus or leakage for the local retail sector. Worker inflows from neighboring communities help to expand the potential customer base. When residents commute elsewhere for work, the likelihood that they will shop locally, especially during traditional business hours, decreases.

The city's overall rate of out-commuting is compared to the average for similarly-sized cities below. The rates express the percentage of working residents who commute somewhere outside the city for work.

Worker Out-Commuting Rates

Burlington	55.9%
Peer Cities	50.5%


Burlington Commuting Summary, 2014



Residence	Workplace		Local = Jobholders
	Burlington	+ Elsewhere	
Burlington	5,621	7,112	12,733
+ Elsewhere	8,487		
= Local Jobs	14,108		

The table above describes local employment, workforce size, and area employment flows by residence and workplace locations for wage and salary workers in the region.


The figure at right compares the relative magnitude of worker flows to and from Burlington and its estimated net commuting flow in 2014.



Key Commuting Relationships for Burlington: Top 3 Sources and Destinations of Workers

Worker commuting patterns also reveal broader regional relationships that influence local economic conditions.

The chart at right identifies the top three workplace destinations for Burlington residents and the top three cities supplying the greatest number of Burlington workers in 2014. The chart measures these flows as percentages of the city's total workforce size and total employment, respectively.



NOTE: The commuting charts on this page are based on 2014 worker commuting flow data published by the U.S. Census Bureau. In cases of small place-to-place commuting flows, the Census Bureau masks the data in order to protect the confidentiality of individual workers and/or business firms. Therefore, the actual size and destinations of the city's commuting flows may differ slightly from those shown here.

Regional Trade Patterns

Regional shopping patterns may be inferred from relative trade levels in surrounding counties and cities. The graphics on this page illustrate which counties and cities in the region serve as regional magnets for retail trade activity.


The map at right illustrates county retail pull factors for Fiscal Year 2016 (see Page 8 for a definition of pull factors). The counties with a pull factor exceeding 1.0, identified in the map with large blue dots, are likely exerting a strong retail influence on trade centers in neighboring counties. Counties with pull factors below 1.0 are leaking sales on a county-wide basis, but might still contain one or more strong local trade centers.

County Pull Factors, Fiscal Year 2016



The bar graph below compares Fiscal Year 2016 per capita sales in Burlington to average sales in neighboring communities with 500 or more residents. The comparison group includes the ten communities nearest to Burlington, as measured from the center of each city. The cities are listed from left to right in descending order by their average per capita sales. Population sizes for each city, as of the 2010 Census, are also indicated.

Neighboring Community Comparison of Per Capita Retail Sales



Historical Trends in Taxable Sales

Historical retail sales statistics for Burlington and the State of Iowa are presented below. Real total taxable sales and real average sales per firm and per capita have been adjusted for inflation and are shown in Fiscal Year 2016-equivalent dollars.

**NOTE: Values for Fiscal Year 2009 and later measure retail activity during a July 1-June 30 fiscal year period. Values for Fiscal Years 2008 and earlier were compiled on an April 1-March 31 fiscal year basis.

Historical Statistics for Burlington:

Fiscal Year	Reporting Firms	Total Taxable Sales (\$)		Real Average Sales (\$)		Statewide Real Average (\$)	
		Nominal	Real	Per Firm	Per Capita	Per Firm	Per Capita
1976	704	115,882,716	408,872,799	580,579	13,562	369,909	10,500
1977	731	128,306,093	428,029,928	585,941	14,289	381,378	11,119
1978	755	131,023,985	409,766,828	542,558	13,722	375,750	11,365
1979	769	138,828,468	402,912,965	523,774	13,576	381,083	11,873
1980	779	157,438,050	414,477,381	532,063	14,037	373,810	11,841
1981	777	159,559,328	381,431,069	490,902	12,923	332,662	10,752
1982	768	161,714,954	361,621,700	471,168	12,348	319,872	10,348
1983	774	166,655,374	355,350,838	459,110	12,316	310,945	10,228
1984	782	171,707,609	351,835,494	449,774	12,330	304,559	10,143
1985	798	178,608,478	353,476,254	442,814	12,499	301,174	10,119
1986	809	188,749,694	362,467,269	447,905	12,975	295,243	10,104
1987	793	199,142,603	374,227,505	471,765	13,527	312,211	10,539
1988	795	211,837,329	383,847,186	482,827	13,932	313,731	10,598
1989	805	224,742,283	390,118,881	484,921	14,206	318,893	10,693
1990	805	225,132,657	375,900,893	467,248	13,700	322,994	10,799
1991	797	240,553,614	385,216,952	483,637	14,004	324,455	10,738
1992	830	257,933,113	402,253,451	484,497	14,585	324,921	10,832
1993	821	263,111,022	399,773,188	487,231	14,477	325,220	10,967
1994	848	273,421,999	406,576,713	479,312	14,736	332,114	11,204
1995	857	272,276,940	396,144,241	462,111	14,415	339,024	11,430
1996	863	275,478,106	393,051,817	455,316	14,379	339,983	11,685
1997	846	282,766,323	395,080,100	467,274	14,531	357,412	11,877
1998	843	259,558,335	358,674,753	425,727	13,244	359,783	12,084
1999	836	269,407,908	368,723,592	440,925	13,649	385,030	12,590
2000	831	270,701,424	362,820,129	436,475	13,499	392,384	12,648
2001	807	266,956,374	349,331,230	432,742	13,106	393,246	12,685
2002	793	253,673,761	327,872,593	413,719	12,464	394,632	12,535
2003	745	262,295,174	332,631,660	446,635	12,755	412,176	12,390
2004	736	264,138,149	328,341,155	446,419	12,671	419,433	12,271
2005	712	255,202,262	309,093,229	434,272	12,029	417,764	12,200
2006	703	264,365,555	310,570,540	442,093	12,137	428,763	12,290
2007	738	282,230,876	324,190,574	439,283	12,686	420,788	12,154
2008	737	289,150,021	322,261,652	437,558	12,602	421,423	12,260
2009**	772	298,554,100	328,730,581	425,679	12,823	413,200	12,216
2010	758	290,786,672	316,919,679	417,962	12,363	396,892	11,445
2011	741	295,246,223	316,216,905	427,032	12,383	411,718	11,524
2012	742	308,393,605	322,432,007	434,838	12,626	419,954	11,759
2013	738	300,384,103	309,317,397	419,413	12,076	414,539	11,619
2014	713	309,351,402	314,132,372	440,578	12,282	431,060	11,743
2015	717	335,529,598	337,731,749	471,199	13,266	448,317	12,126
2016	722	339,587,030	339,587,030	470,505	13,321	454,924	12,281

Sales by Business Group

Areas of strength or weakness in the local retail sector may be revealed through a comparative analysis of sales by specific types of businesses. The following table presents taxable sales statistics by business group for Des Moines County. **NOTE: Sales data by business group are not available for individual cities (see Page 23 for more information).**

The top section shows the annualized number of reporting firms (average returns filed per quarter), taxable sales, and average sales per firm in 12 types of retail businesses. The bottom section shows sales by business group on a per capita basis. Real averages for the prior 3-year period are provided to identify areas of recent growth or decline. Median values for similar counties and statewide averages for the current fiscal year are also provided for benchmarking purposes. County data are suppressed for business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.

Sales by business group should not be confused with sales by merchandise category. The business group sales data reflect the broad business classification of the firms making the sales, not the specific goods and services that were sold. See Page 15 for a more detailed list of the types of firms included within each business group.

Des Moines County Taxable Sales Summary by Business Group


Total Sales and Average Sales Per Firm	Des Moines County FY16 Totals		Average Sales Per Firm (\$)	
	Type of Firm	Total Sales (\$)	Reporting Firms	Des Moines County
Apparel Stores	14,212,379	26	541,424	685,784
Building Materials Stores	87,060,457	22	3,912,830	1,859,909
Eating and Drinking Establishments	69,754,740	99	706,377	557,036
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	46,199,184	38	1,223,819	1,093,430
General Merchandise Stores	107,583,491	15	7,054,655	6,143,479
Home Furnishings Stores	7,917,739	23	348,032	835,356
Specialty Retail Stores	50,695,271	196	258,980	217,690
Service Establishments	84,648,334	424	199,642	167,836
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	34,941,997	137	254,586	247,806
Automotive and Related Stores	29,025,660	31	951,661	796,628
Utilities and Transportation Services	19,319,366	42	457,263	1,038,577
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	38,724,854	52	741,146	907,981

Real Sales Per Capita (\$)	Des Moines County Trends		FY16 Benchmark Values	
	Type of Firm	prior 3-year average FY13 - FY15	FY16	Non-Metro Median
Apparel Stores	287	357	122	341
Building Materials Stores	1,951	2,184	424	883
Eating and Drinking Establishments	1,572	1,750	732	1,352
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	NA	1,159	1,105	1,112
General Merchandise Stores	2,769	2,699	1,234	1,509
Home Furnishings Stores	223	199	152	395
Specialty Retail Stores	1,189	1,272	404	976
Service Establishments	1,821	2,124	1,131	1,702
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	876	877	818	985
Automotive and Related Stores	NA	728	451	595
Utilities and Transportation Services	422	485	658	1,173
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	828	971	841	1,259

Per Capita Sales by Business Group


The chart below compares per capita sales by business group in Des Moines County with the median value for all 78 non-metropolitan counties in Iowa (see table on previous page for underlying data). Des Moines County per capita values are shown with red dots. The non-metropolitan median values appear as blue dashes. County data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.

Note: Sales values for the Wholesalers group reflect only the retail portion of sales by wholesale firms.



Distribution of Taxable Sales by Business Group

The following charts illustrate the percentage distribution of Des Moines County and statewide total taxable sales across the major retail business groups. County data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms. Sales in suppressed categories are aggregated into a single percentage value and labeled with an asterisk (*).



Statewide Average Per Capita Sales by Detailed Business Type, FY 2016

Business Type and Per Capita Sales (\$)		
Apparel Group	\$341	Services Group
Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores	291	\$1,702
Shoe Stores	50	Auto Repair
		347
		Hotels and All Other Lodging Places
		305
		Other Business Services
		222
Automotive and Related Firms	\$595	Arts and Entertainment
New and Used Car Dealers	293	193
Automotive Parts and Accessories	218	Beauty/Barber Shops
Recreational and All Other Motorized Vehicles	83	129
		Miscellaneous Repairs
		94
		Other Personal Services
		79
		Auto Rental and Storage
		59
Building Materials Group	\$883	Motion Picture and Video Industries
Building Material Dealers	638	52
Hardware Stores	131	Laundry and Floor Cleaning
Garden Supply Stores	80	41
Paint and Glass Stores	33	Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Leasing
Mobile Home Dealers	2	40
		Electronic and Precision Equipment Repair & Maintenance
		37
		Other Services
		29
		Funeral Service and Crematories
		23
		Education and Athletic Events
		19
		Photographic Studios
		14
Eating and Drinking Places Group	\$1,352	Employment Services
Restaurants, Taverns, and Bars	1,352	14
		Upholstery and Furniture Repair
		2
		Watch, Clock, Jewelry Repair
		0
		Footwear and Leather Repair
		0
Food Dealers Group	\$1,112	Miscellaneous Group
Grocery Stores and Convenience Stores	559	\$985
Gas Stations/Convenience Stores With Gas	536	Plumbing and Heating Contractors
Specialized Groceries	16	151
		General Contractors
		143
		Agricultural Production and Services
		134
		Other Special Trade Contractors
		114
		Industrial Equipment Manufacturers
		84
		Miscellaneous Manufacturers
		60
		Food Manufacturers
		54
		Electrical Contractors
		51
		Non-Metallic Product Manufacturers
		47
		Furniture, Wood and Paper Manufacturers
		39
		Publishers Of Books & Newspapers and Commercial Printers
		33
		Carpentry Contractors
		25
		Unclassified
		25
		Mining
		13
		Painting Contractors
		11
		Apparel and Textile Manufacturers
		2
		Wholesale Goods Group
		\$1,259
		(retail sales by wholesale firms)
		1,259
		Utilities and Transportation Group
		\$1,173
		Electric and Gas
		454
		Communications
		447
		Water and Sanitation
		193
		Transportation and Warehousing
		79
		All Business Groups
		\$12,281

Consumer Characteristics

U.S. Consumer Spending Patterns by Income and Age

Consumer spending patterns vary with age, income level, and other consumer characteristics. The chart at right illustrates differences in U.S. consumer spending on a selected bundle of goods and services that are taxable in Iowa: food away from home, telecommunications services, household supplies and furnishings, apparel, entertainment, automobile repair and maintenance, and personal services.

In the chart, average annual spending levels of consumers within each group are expressed as percentages of the all-consumer average. Differences are most apparent by income level, with persons in the highest household income quintile spending more than twice the average of persons in the lowest income quintile. Per person spending also tends to increase with householder age. Spending is lower on average in rural households than urban households.

U.S. Consumer Spending on Selected Goods and Services That are Taxable in Iowa, by Type of Consumer



Local Income and Age Distributions

Recent county-level statistics may be used to profile the income and age distributions of area residents. If the county deviates strongly from statewide averages on these measures, one might expect some differences in local residents' spending compared to the average spending levels by all Iowa residents.

The table at right shows the county's median household income level and estimated poverty rate compared to the state. A lower median income level, a higher poverty rate, or both suggest that the percentage of county residents in low income brackets exceeds the statewide average. In these cases, comparatively lower retail spending levels may be anticipated locally.

The bottom half of the table illustrates the percentage distribution of the county's population by age group in years, relative to the comparable statewide percentages. Strong differences in the regional age distribution likely affect both the mix and levels of retail goods and services demanded by area residents.

Des Moines County Profile

Median Household Income (\$)	Des Moines	State of Iowa
Estimate	50,125	54,843
90% Confidence Interval	46,340 - 53,920	54,080 - 55,610

Poverty Rate (%)	Des Moines	State of Iowa
Estimate	14.7	12.1
90% Confidence Interval	12.2 - 17.2	11.8 - 12.4

Population (% of total)	Des Moines	State of Iowa
Under 5 years	5.9%	6.3%
Age 5 to 17	17.0%	17.0%
Age 18 to 24	7.7%	10.3%
Age 25 to 44	22.9%	24.3%
Age 45 to 64	27.3%	26.0%
Age 65 years and over	19.4%	16.1%
Median age	41.9	38.1

▶ Higher than state
◀ Lower than state

Other Factors Influencing Retail Sales

Inflation

The rate of inflation measures changes over time in the purchasing power of the dollar. When price levels rise faster than earnings and other income, consumers may have to reduce or reallocate their spending.

The pace of U.S. inflation during the last 10 years is illustrated at right. This chart shows quarterly changes in the Midwest Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, using first quarter of 2007 as the benchmark period.

Midwest Consumer Price Index
(100% = Price Levels in 1st Quarter 2007)



Consumer Confidence

Consumer confidence refers to how favorably consumers view prospects for the economy and their own financial situation. Pessimism about the economy can have a dampening effect on household discretionary purchases, while optimism can boost the likelihood of purchases.

The chart at right illustrates a quarterly index of consumer confidence benchmarked to the first quarter of 2007. Source data were obtained from the Index of Consumer Sentiment, University of Michigan Surveys of Consumers, via the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis.

U.S. Consumer Sentiment
(100 = Index Value in 1st Quarter 2007)



Internet and Catalog Sales

E-commerce represents a rapidly-growing share of retail activity in the United States. While presenting a potential sales growth channel for many retailers, e-commerce also poses a threat as yet another source of sales leakage from Iowa's communities.

The chart at right shows the growing share of total U.S. retail sales that are transacted through e-commerce. E-commerce, which includes internet and catalog sales, describes transactions in which an order is placed and/or price and terms of sale are negotiated over an internet or other online system.

E-Commerce Sales in the U.S.
(as a Percentage of Total Retail Sales)



Iowa's Retail Sales Tax Reporting

The state of Iowa imposes a tax on the gross receipts from sales of taxable tangible personal property and taxable services. In general, merchandise goods are taxable unless specifically exempted and services are taxable if specifically enumerated by the state.

Retailers file sales tax returns to the Iowa Department of Revenue on a semi-monthly, monthly, quarterly, or annual basis depending on their amount of sales.

The Department of Revenue compiles the data from sales tax returns and publishes quarterly and annual retail sales tax reports that provide the primary source of data for this report.

Iowa's sales tax reporting process may lead to occasional anomalies in retail sales data reported at the local level. The state compiles these data primarily for fiscal management purposes, and only secondarily for analytical purposes.

Certain accounting and other administrative constraints may result in the under-reporting or no reporting of sales activity for individual communities.

Confidentiality. In order to protect the confidentiality of individual filers, the Iowa Department of Revenue only reports data from localities with a minimum of 10 tax returns filed for a quarter or 40 returns per year. Sales data for localities not meeting this threshold level are reported for the county in which they are located.

Recent changes in the administration of Iowa's sales tax include the following:

- July 1, 2004. Iowa revised its sales tax laws to meet Streamlined Sales Tax Project (SSTP) requirements. SSTP improves uniformity in sales tax laws across states, thereby encouraging businesses to collect and remit sales tax in every state in which they make taxable sales.

- January 1, 2006. The tax on certain types of energy was reduced to 0% after a 4-year phased decline.
- July 1, 2008. Iowa's sales tax rate increased from 5% to 6%.
- July 1, 2008. The Iowa Department of Revenue adopted a new fiscal year reporting period to align with the state fiscal year that runs from July 1 through June 30 of each year.
- July 1, 2013. The Iowa Department of Revenue changed the business class assignment for approximately 12 percent of Iowa's retailers.
- July 1, 2013. Taxable sales in the Convenience Stores and Gas Stations business class were reclassified from the Automotive and Related Group to the Food Dealers Group.

Notable Exemptions and Exclusions from Iowa's Retail Sales Tax

Many retail transactions, because they are exempt or otherwise excluded from the state's sales tax, are not included in the taxable sales values reported in this report. Following are some notable exemptions from Iowa's sales tax. More detailed documentation is available from the Iowa Department of Revenue.

Exempt or Excluded Goods. Goods that are exempt from the sales tax include certain foods used for home consumption, prescription drugs, and medical devices. Sales of gasoline, subject to a separate fuel tax, are excluded from taxable retail sales. Taxable retail sales also exclude the sale or lease of new or used vehicles that are subject to registration. Vehicle purchases are taxed separately under the state's one-time registration fee.

Exempt Services. Unlike tangible goods, services are exempt from tax unless specifically enumerated. Professional

services such as medical and legal services are not subject to the sales tax.

Utilities. The state has phased out taxes on sales of metered gas, electricity, and fuel used as energy in residential dwellings, apartment units and condominiums. Specific exemptions may also apply to certain businesses and industries.

Sales to Agriculture, Manufacturing, and Other Industries. The state exempts sales of many goods and services that are used as inputs to agriculture and other industrial processes.

Sales tax exemptions for agriculture apply to the purchase of feed, seed, fertilizer, farm machinery and equipment, fuels and utilities, and some services.

Exemptions to manufacturing include purchases of tangible inputs that become an integral part of manufactured goods ultimately sold at retail; fuels, chemicals,

and other inputs that are consumed during production processes; industrial machinery, equipment, and some computer equipment; and many services.

The state has created additional exemptions targeted toward specific industries such as wind energy and information technology. See the Department of Revenue Web site for more detailed information about exempt sales to industry and business.

Sales to Tax-Exempt Organizations. Local and state government entities are exempt from the sales tax. Sales to private nonprofit educational institutions for educational purposes are also exempt. Sales from fund-raising activities are exempt from sales tax if the proceeds are used for educational, religious, or charitable purposes.

Cautions for Interpreting Reported Sales Data

Non-Taxable Goods & Services. The sales information presented in this report provides only a partial picture of retail and service sector activity in Iowa's communities, due in part to the data reporting practices and sales tax exemptions listed on the previous page.

Large Public Institutions. The presence of large public institutions such as correctional facilities or universities may distort local sales measures, as their institutional purchases are excluded from taxable sales but their residents are included in local population estimates.

Sales or Service Territories. Some cities' reported sales values may appear inflated if they are home to the business office or headquarters of a firm with a broad, geographically-defined service territory such as a rural telecommunications or cable television provider.

Definitions of Retail Measures

Retail Sales. This term refers to the reported sales of goods and services that are subject to Iowa's retail sales tax.

Reporting Firms. This value reflects the average number of tax returns filed each quarter during the year, and it serves as a proxy for the number of local retail firms.

Real Sales. "Real" dollar values have been standardized to reflect the purchasing power of a dollar in the current fiscal year, thus removing the effects of price inflation.

Nominal Sales. Nominal sales are the dollar amounts reported in the year the transactions actually took place. These values have not been adjusted for inflation.

Sales Per Firm. Per firm sales are calculated by dividing the annual dollar value of sales by the average number of reporting firms in that year.

Sales Per Capita. Per capita (or "per person") sales are calculated by dividing the dollar value of sales by the estimated population for the subject place, including group quarters residents.

Expected Per Capita Spending. An expected value for residents' average spending on taxable retail goods and services is used in the calculation of trade surplus and leakage, trade area capture, and pull factor values. This measure is sensitive to local income levels. For more information on the derivation of this measure, please contact the author.

Sales by Business Group. Sales tabulations by business group describe the types of firms where retail transactions occurred. They do *not* describe the type of merchandise that was sold.

Other Data Notes

City-to-County Assignments: The incorporated territory of many Iowa cities crosses the boundaries of two or more counties. For this report, all cities are assigned to the county that contained the greatest percentage of its population in the 2010 Census.

Commuting Flows: Local Employment Dynamics Program, U.S. Census Bureau. These commuting flows describe the place of work and place of residence of wage and salary workers in 2014. Self-employed individuals such as sole proprietors and partners are excluded from these data.

Consumer Spending Patterns: Consumer Expenditure Survey, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Consumer Sentiment: Surveys of Consumers, University of Michigan, University of Michigan: Consumer Sentiment®, retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis <https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/UMCSENT>, 04/12/17.

E-commerce Sales: US. Bureau of the Census, E-Commerce Retail Sales as a Percent of Total Sales, retrieved from FRED, Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis <https://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/ECOMPCTSA>, 04/12/17.

Employment: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (annual) and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (monthly). Employment includes full-time and part-time wage and salary jobs, with all jobs counted equally.

Household Income and Poverty: Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau.

Inflation Rate: Midwest Region Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, All Items, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Average Wages and Salaries per Job: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Population: Iowa State University estimates, based on data released through the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau. With each annual data release, the U.S. Census Bureau may revise its estimates from prior years. This report incorporates the most recently available estimates and revisions. Population-based statistics published in this report may not reconcile with those appearing in earlier retail trade analysis reports. In most cases, the discrepancies are minor.

Price Deflators: Except where otherwise noted in this report, the dollar values for all retail sales and personal income data have been adjusted for inflation using the Implicit Price Deflator for Personal Consumption Expenditures published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

Unemployment: Local Area Unemployment Statistics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Peer Group 1-4 Cities and Their 2010 Census Population Size

1	Altoona.....	14,541	Coralville.....	18,907	Marion.....	34,768
	Ames.....	58,965	Council Bluffs.....	62,230	North Liberty.....	13,374
	Ankeny.....	45,582	Davenport.....	99,685	Sioux City.....	82,684
	Bettendorf.....	33,217	Des Moines.....	203,433	Urbandale.....	39,463
	Cedar Falls.....	39,260	Dubuque.....	57,637	Waterloo.....	68,406
	Cedar Rapids.....	126,326	Iowa City.....	67,862	Waukee.....	13,790
	Clive.....	15,447	Johnston.....	17,278	West Des Moines...	56,609

2	Boone.....	12,661	Indianola.....	14,782	Oskaloosa.....	11,463
	Burlington.....	25,663	Keokuk.....	10,780	Ottumwa.....	25,023
	Carroll.....	10,103	Marshalltown.....	27,552	Pella.....	10,352
	Clinton.....	26,885	Mason City.....	28,079	Spencer.....	11,233
	Fort Dodge.....	25,206	Muscatine.....	22,886	Storm Lake.....	10,600
	Fort Madison.....	11,051	Newton.....	15,254		

3	Albia.....	3,766	Fairfield.....	9,464	Onawa.....	2,998
	Algona.....	5,560	Forest City.....	4,151	Orange City.....	6,004
	Atlantic.....	7,112	Garner.....	3,129	Osage.....	3,619
	Bloomfield.....	2,640	Grinnell.....	9,218	Osceola.....	4,929
	Camanche.....	4,448	Hampton.....	4,461	Red Oak.....	5,742
	Centerville.....	5,528	Harlan.....	5,106	Rock Rapids.....	2,549
	Chariton.....	4,321	Hawarden.....	2,546	Rock Valley.....	3,354
	Charles City.....	7,652	Humboldt.....	4,690	Sheldon.....	5,188
	Cherokee.....	5,253	Independence.....	5,966	Shenandoah.....	5,150
	Clarinda.....	5,572	Iowa Falls.....	5,238	Sibley.....	2,798
	Clarion.....	2,850	Jefferson.....	4,345	Sioux Center.....	7,048
	Clear Lake.....	7,777	Jesup.....	2,520	Spirit Lake.....	4,840
	Cresco.....	3,868	Knoxville.....	7,313	Tama.....	2,877
	Creston.....	7,834	Madrid.....	2,543	Tipton.....	3,221
	Decorah.....	8,127	Manchester.....	5,179	Waukon.....	3,897
	Denison.....	8,298	Maquoketa.....	6,141	Webster City.....	8,070
	De Witt.....	5,322	Marengo.....	2,528	West Burlington....	2,968
	Eagle Grove.....	3,583	Milford.....	2,898	West Liberty.....	3,736
	Eldora.....	2,732	Mount Pleasant.....	8,668	Williamsburg.....	3,068
	Emmetsburg.....	3,904	New Hampton.....	3,571	Wilton.....	2,802
	Estherville.....	6,360	Oelwein.....	6,415		

4	Adel.....	3,682	Grimes.....	8,246	Perry.....	7,702
	Anamosa.....	5,533	Grundy Center.....	2,706	Pleasant Hill.....	8,785
	Asbury.....	4,170	Hiawatha.....	7,024	Polk City.....	3,418
	Belle Plaine.....	2,534	Huxley.....	3,317	Robins.....	3,142
	Bondurant.....	3,860	Le Claire.....	3,765	Sergeant Bluff.....	4,227
	Carlisle.....	3,876	Le Mars.....	9,826	Story City.....	3,431
	Carter Lake.....	3,785	Missouri Valley.....	2,838	Vinton.....	5,257
	Dyersville.....	4,058	Monticello.....	3,796	Washington.....	7,266
	Eldridge.....	5,651	Mount Vernon.....	4,506	Waverly.....	9,874
	Evansdale.....	4,751	Nevada.....	6,798	Windsor Heights....	4,860
	Glenwood.....	5,269	Norwalk.....	8,945	Winterset.....	5,190

Peer Group 5 Cities and Their 2010 Census Population Size

5N	Agency.....	638	Dows.....	538	Latimer.....	507	Pocahontas.....	1,789
	Albert City.....	699	Early.....	557	Laurens.....	1,258	Pomeroy.....	662
	Allerton.....	501	Eddyville.....	1,024	Lenox.....	1,407	Primghar.....	909
	Alta.....	1,883	Eldon.....	927	Leon.....	1,977	Riceville.....	785
	Alton.....	1,216	Everly.....	603	Lovilia.....	538	Rockford.....	860
	Armstrong.....	926	Farmington.....	664	Manilla.....	776	Rockwell.....	1,039
	Arnolds Park.....	1,126	Fonda.....	631	Manly.....	1,323	Rockwell City.....	1,709
	Badger.....	561	Fremont.....	743	Manning.....	1,500	Rolfé.....	584
	Bancroft.....	732	Gilmore City.....	504	Manson.....	1,690	Ruthven.....	737
	Bedford.....	1,440	Glidden.....	1,146	Mediapolis.....	1,560	Sac City.....	2,220
	Belmond.....	2,376	Goldfield.....	635	Montezuma.....	1,462	St. Ansgar.....	1,107
	Boyden.....	707	Gowrie.....	1,037	Montrose.....	898	Sanborn.....	1,404
	Britt.....	2,069	Graettinger.....	844	Moravia.....	665	Schaller.....	772
	Brooklyn.....	1,468	Hartley.....	1,672	Moulton.....	605	Schleswig.....	882
	Buffalo Center.....	905	Hospers.....	698	Mount Ayr.....	1,691	Seymour.....	701
	Burt.....	533	Hull.....	2,175	Newell.....	876	Sheffield.....	1,172
	Calmar.....	978	Ireton.....	609	New Sharon.....	1,293	Sioux Rapids.....	775
	Charter Oak.....	502	Kanawha.....	652	Nora Springs.....	1,431	Sutherland.....	649
	Coon Rapids.....	1,305	Keosauqua.....	1,006	Northwood.....	1,989	Swea City.....	536
	Corning.....	1,635	Klemme.....	507	Odebolt.....	1,013	Thompson.....	502
	Corydon.....	1,585	Lake City.....	1,727	Okoboji.....	807	Ventura.....	717
	Dakota City.....	843	Lake Mills.....	2,100	Orleans.....	608	Wall Lake.....	819
	Danville.....	934	Lake Park.....	1,105	Ossian.....	845	West Bend.....	785
	Dayton.....	837	Lakeside.....	596	Otho.....	542	West Point.....	966
	Donnellson.....	912	Lake View.....	1,142	Paullina.....	1,056	Whittemore.....	504
	Dow City.....	510	Lamoni.....	2,324				

5A	Ackley.....	1,589	Essex.....	798	Keota.....	1,009	Radcliffe.....	545
	Adair.....	781	Exira.....	840	Lansing.....	999	Richland.....	584
	Afton.....	845	Fairbank.....	1,113	Larchwood.....	866	Russell.....	554
	Albion.....	505	Fayette.....	1,338	Le Grand.....	938	Sabula.....	576
	Alden.....	787	Fontanelle.....	672	Lime Springs.....	505	Scranton.....	557
	Allison.....	1,029	Fredericksburg.....	931	Lowden.....	789	Shelby.....	641
	Anita.....	972	Fruitland.....	977	McGregor.....	871	Shell Rock.....	1,296
	Aplington.....	1,128	Garnaville.....	745	Mapleton.....	1,224	Sidney.....	1,138
	Audubon.....	2,176	Garwin.....	527	Marcus.....	1,117	Sigourney.....	2,059
	Aurelia.....	1,036	George.....	1,080	Maynard.....	518	Stanton.....	689
	Battle Creek.....	713	Gilman.....	509	Mechanicsville.....	1,146	Stanwood.....	684
	Baxter.....	1,101	Gladbrook.....	945	Melbourne.....	830	State Center.....	1,468
	Bellevue.....	2,191	Grand Junction.....	824	Melcher-Dallas.....	1,288	Stratford.....	743
	Clarence.....	974	Grand Mound.....	642	Monona.....	1,549	Strawberry Point.....	1,279
	Clarksville.....	1,439	Grandview.....	556	Monroe.....	1,830	Sully.....	821
	Clermont.....	632	Greene.....	1,130	Morning Sun.....	836	Tabor.....	1,040
	Colfax.....	2,093	Greenfield.....	1,982	Murray.....	756	Toledo.....	2,341
	Columbus Junction.....	1,899	Griswold.....	1,036	Nashua.....	1,663	Traer.....	1,793
	Delmar.....	525	Guttenberg.....	1,919	New Albin.....	522	Victor.....	893
	Doon.....	577	Hamburg.....	1,187	New Hartford.....	516	Villisca.....	1,252
	Dumont.....	637	Hazleton.....	823	New London.....	1,897	Wapello.....	2,067
	Durant.....	1,832	Hedrick.....	764	North English.....	1,041	Wayland.....	966
	Dysart.....	1,379	Holstein.....	1,396	Ogden.....	2,044	West Branch.....	2,322
	Earlville.....	812	Hopkinton.....	628	Parkersburg.....	1,870	West Union.....	2,486
	Edgewood.....	864	Hubbard.....	845	Pleasantville.....	1,694	What Cheer.....	646
	Elgin.....	683	Ida Grove.....	2,142	Postville.....	2,227	Wheatland.....	764
	Elkader.....	1,273	Inwood.....	814	Prairie City.....	1,680	Whiting.....	762
	Elk Horn.....	662	Jewell Junction.....	1,215	Preston.....	1,012	Winfield.....	1,134
	Ellsworth.....	531	Kellogg.....	599	Quasqueton.....	554	Winthrop.....	850
	Elma.....	546						

Peer Group 6-7 Cities and Their 2010 Census Population Size

6	Ainsworth, 567	Denver, 1,780	Janesville, 930	Olin, 698	Stuart, 1,648
	Akron, 1,486	De Soto, 1,050	Kalona, 2,363	Oxford, 807	Sumner, 2,028
	Alburnett, 673	Dexter, 611	Keystone, 622	Palo, 1,026	Swisher, 879
	Anthon, 565	Dike, 1,209	Kingsley, 1,411	Panora, 1,124	Tiffin, 1,947
	Atkins, 1,670	Dunkerton, 852	La Porte City, 2,285	Peosta, 1,377	Treynor, 919
	Avoca, 1,506	Dunlap, 1,042	Lawton, 908	Princeton, 886	Tripoli, 1,313
	Blairstown, 692	Earlham, 1,450	Lisbon, 2,152	Raymond, 788	Underwood, 917
	Blue Grass, 1,452	Elkhart, 683	Logan, 1,534	Readlyn, 808	University Heights, 1,051
	Brighton, 652	Elk Run Heights, 1,117	Lone Tree, 1,300	Redfield, 835	Urbana, 1,458
	Buffalo, 1,270	Ely, 1,776	Long Grove, 808	Reinbeck, 1,664	Van Horne, 682
	Cambridge, 827	Epworth, 1,860	Malvern, 1,142	Remsen, 1,663	Van Meter, 1,016
	Carson, 812	Fairfax, 2,123	Maxwell, 920	Riverside, 993	Walcott, 1,629
	Cascade, 2,159	Farley, 1,537	Merrill, 755	Roland, 1,284	Walford, 1,463
	Center Point, 2,421	Gilbert, 1,082	Milo, 775	Runnells, 507	Walker, 791
	Central City, 1,257	Gilbertville, 712	Minden, 599	St. Charles, 653	Walnut, 785
	Coggon, 658	Granger, 1,244	Mitchellville, 2,254	Shellsburg, 983	Wellman, 1,408
	Colo, 876	Guthrie Center, 1,569	Moville, 1,618	Shueyville, 577	Wellsburg, 707
	Conrad, 1,108	Hartford, 771	Neola, 842	Slater, 1,489	Woodbine, 1,459
	Correctionville, 821	Hills, 703	Newhall, 875	Sloan, 973	Woodward, 1,024
	Crescent, 617	Hinton, 928	Norway, 545	Solon, 2,037	Wyoming, 515
	Dallas Center, 1,623	Hudson, 2,282	Oakland, 1,527	Springville, 1,074	Zearing, 554

7	Alleman, 432	Crawfordsville, 264	Ionia, 291	Mallard, 274	Rhodes, 305
	Alta Vista, 266	Crystal Lake, 250	Irwin, 341	Marble Rock, 307	Ridgeway, 315
	Andrew, 434	Cumberland, 262	Kellerton, 315	Marquette, 375	Ringsted, 422
	Arcadia, 484	Cumming, 351	Kelley, 309	Martelle, 255	Rippey, 292
	Arlington, 429	Danbury, 348	Kensett, 266	Martensdale, 465	Riverdale, 405
	Ashton, 458	Dedham, 266	Kimballton, 322	Massena, 355	Riverton, 304
	Atalissa, 311	Deep River, 279	Kiron, 279	Maurice, 275	Rowley, 264
	Auburn, 322	Defiance, 284	Lacona, 361	Menlo, 353	Royal, 446
	Bagley, 303	Delhi, 460	Ladora, 283	Meservey, 256	Rudd, 369
	Batavia, 499	Deloit, 264	Lakota, 255	Middletown, 318	Ryan, 361
	Bayard, 471	Delta, 328	Lamont, 461	Miles, 445	Salem, 383
	Beacon, 494	Diagonal, 330	La Motte, 260	Milton, 443	Salix, 363
	Bennett, 405	Donahue, 346	Lawler, 439	Minburn, 365	Sheldahl, 319
	Bertram, 294	Duncombe, 410	Lehigh, 416	Mingo, 302	Spillville, 367
	Birmingham, 448	Earling, 437	Leland, 289	Modale, 283	Stacyville, 494
	Blakesburg, 296	Elliott, 350	Lester, 294	Mondamin, 402	Stanhope, 422
	Bode, 302	Emerson, 438	Letts, 384	Mystic, 425	Steamboat Rock, 310
	Bonaparte, 433	Farmersburg, 302	Lewis, 433	New Market, 415	Stockport, 296
	Brandon, 309	Farnhamville, 371	Libertyville, 315	New Vienna, 407	Templeton, 362
	Breda, 483	Farragut, 485	Liscomb, 301	New Virginia, 489	Terril, 367
	Bronson, 322	Fenton, 279	Little Rock, 459	Nichols, 374	Thornton, 422
	Bussey, 422	Fertile, 370	Livermore, 384	Ocheyedan, 490	Titonka, 476
	Calamus, 439	Floyd, 335	Lockridge, 268	Orient, 408	Truro, 485
	Callender, 376	Fort Atkinson, 349	Lohrville, 368	Oxford Junction, 496	Union, 397
	Casey, 426	Galva, 434	Lorimor, 360	Pacific Junction, 471	University Park, 487
	Charlotte, 394	Garrison, 371	Lost Nation, 446	Persia, 319	Ute, 374
	Chelsea, 267	Grafton, 252	Low Moor, 288	Peterson, 334	Vail, 436
	Churdan, 386	Granville, 312	Luana, 269	Pierson, 366	Wadena, 262
	Cincinnati, 357	Greeley, 256	Lu Verne, 261	Pisgah, 251	Wahpeton, 341
	Clearfield, 363	Harcourt, 303	Lynnville, 379	Plainfield, 436	Waucoma, 257
	Colesburg, 404	Harpers Ferry, 328	Lytton, 315	Plymouth, 382	Wesley, 390
	Collins, 495	Hawkeye, 449	McCallsburg, 333	Prescott, 257	West Okoboji, 289
	Columbus City, 391	Holland, 282	McCausland, 291	Protivin, 283	Westside, 299
	Conesville, 432	Holy Cross, 374	Maharishi Vedic City, 259	Pulaski, 260	Williams, 344
	Corwith, 309	Humeston, 494	Malcom, 287	Quimby, 319	Worthington, 401
	Coulter, 281				

Iowa State University
Department of Economics

For more information about this report, please contact:

Liesl Eathington
Phone: 515-294-2954
Fax: 515-294-0221
E-mail: leathing@iastate.edu

175 Heady Hall
Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa 50011

Find these retail reports, along with other economic and demographic profiles for Iowa's communities, online at:

www.icip.iastate.edu



Frequently-Asked Questions

Following are some of the most frequently-asked questions about the content of this report:

What happened to the detailed business group sales data for cities? Long-time users of the Iowa State University (ISU) Retail Trade Analysis reports may notice the absence of city-level sales data by type of business. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2009, the Iowa Department of Revenue ceased publication of detailed business group data at the individual city level in its Annual Retail Sales and Use Tax Report. As a consequence, the ISU Retail Trade Analysis reports now provide analysis of business group sales at the county and state levels only. Subject to strict disclosure limitations, the Iowa Department of Revenue may provide detailed categorical sales data for individual cities upon request.

Why do historical data in this report differ from previously-published ISU retail reports? The underlying population and income data used in this report are subject to backward revision by the U.S. Census Bureau and sister agencies, meaning that historical data are revised as new information becomes available. Any revisions to population and income estimates may result in re-statement of per capita retail sales, pull factors, and related measures for prior years. This report incorporates the most recently-revised statistics, and no effort is made to reconcile the historical data with prior versions of the ISU Retail Trade Analysis reports.

Are the retail sales statistics fully comparable over time? Users should note that retail statistics in this report describe only taxable, not total, retail sales. Changes to Iowa's sales tax laws have redefined the mix of goods and services included within taxable sales transactions over time. Changes in sales tax reporting practices may also complicate analysis of historical trends at the local or statewide level. Notable recent changes include the following:

- Iowa Department of Revenue reassigned more than 10 percent of Iowa's retailers to different business class codes that better reflect their business focus (FY 2014).
- Iowa Department of Revenue reclassified gasoline stations with convenience stores from the automotive and related group to the food dealers group (FY 2014).

These reclassifications should be noted when comparing sales by business group before and after FY 2014.

Are the pull factors and other retail measures adjusted for differences in local income? Yes. In calculating local pull factor ratios and estimating trade surplus/leakage values, this report incorporates small area income data available from the American Community Survey (ACS), U.S. Census Bureau. The ACS income estimates are spatially-smoothed, then used to derive pull factor and related retail measures that account for variations in local income levels.

Acknowledgements

For more than three decades, Iowa State University has provided analysis and outreach services to describe retail trade patterns in Iowa's cities and counties. In producing this report, we acknowledge the pioneering work of Kenneth E. Stone, now Professor Emeritus, in applied community retail trade analysis.

This project was supported with funding from the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station, the research program directed by the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at Iowa State University.

Iowa State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, age, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender identity, sex, marital status, disability, or status as a U.S. veteran. Inquiries can be directed to the Director of Equal Opportunity and Diversity, 3680 Beardshear Hall, (515) 294-7612.