# **Retail Trade Analysis** Fiscal Year 2019

This report examines local retail sales and

related economic trends using a variety of

The retail measures are based on sales of

goods and services that are subject to Iowa's

Department of Revenue Annual Sales and Use

statewide sales tax, as reported in the Iowa

Retail sales data have been adjusted for

inflation and are stated in Fiscal Year 2019

dollar equivalents, unless otherwise noted.

The 2019 fiscal year began July 1, 2018, and

historical and peer-based performance

**Overview** 

measures.

Tax Report.

ended June 30, 2019.

## **Union County**

Iowa State University Department of Economics

> Overview, 1-3 Key retail indicators Historical statistics

Local economic trends, 4-7 Population, employment, income, and age distribution

Retail performance measures, 8-13 Per capita sales Surplus and leakage **Pull factors** 

County business group sales Regional competition, 14-16 Local shares Neighboring area sales

Commuting patterns

Data notes, 17-25 Data sources, definitions, business group and peer group listings, and frequently asked questions

% Change

6.7%

1.1%

-0.9%

7.6%

5.4%

12,270

11,806

382,206

Table 1. Union County Key Retail Indicators							
	FY2018	FY2019					
Real total taxable sales (\$)	135,819,676	144,856,166					
Number of reporting firms (annualized)	375	379					

### Tab

No distinctions are made among residents of households, educational institutions, nursing homes, or other group quarters in the calculation of per capita sales and related indicators.

Population

Average sales per capita (\$)

Average sales per firm (\$)


12,379

10,972

362,669

## 10-Year Summary of Taxable Retail Sales Statistics

Figure 1 shows the average number of business establishments filing sales tax returns during the year, serving as a rough estimate for the number of local retail establishments.



### Figure 1. Number of Reporting Establishments

Figure 2. Real Total Taxable Sales

Real 144.9 annual taxable retail sales 138.0 (\$ millions) 135.8 133.1 132.8 132.4 131.6 131.1 129.8 128.3 FY10 FY11 FY12 FY13 FY14 FY15 FY16 FY17 FY18 FY19




Figure 2 shows the total real, or inflationadjusted, value of taxable retail sales reported by local businesses.

Figure 3

compares local retail sales on a per capita basis to the statewide per capita average.

# Historical Trends in Taxable Retail Sales

#### Table 2. Historical Statistics for Union County:


			1				
		Total Ta	axable Sales (\$)	Real Aver	age Sales (\$)	Statewide R	eal Average (\$)
Fiscal Year	<b>Reporting Firms</b>	Nominal	Real	Per Firm	Per Capita	Per Firm	Per Capita
1976	427	34,768,472	129,325,949	303,049	9,506	389,965	11,069
1977	422	41,693,938	146,627,806	347,459	10,664	402,043	11,721
1978	451	45,618,527	150,446,085	333,954	10,830	396,235	11,985
1979	460	50,963,115	155,995,144	339,120	11,131	401,923	12,523
1980	473	57,759,524	160,332,529	339,328	11,474	394,146	12,485
1981	478	55,235,783	139,111,952	291,181	10,041	350,472	11,327
1982	473	55,854,097	131,478,100	277,966	9,528	336,721	10,893
1983	466	58,013,632	130,239,511	279,634	9,503	327,385	10,769
1984	473	57,828,006	124,796,054	263,979	9,191	320,763	10,683
1985	461	56,762,120	118,342,220	256,847	8,886	317,278	10,660
1986	452	59,144,035	119,694,776	264,958	9,183	311,144	10,648
1987	446	60,966,365	120,772,335	270,790	9,369	329,120	11,110
1988	422	61,889,610	118,278,965	280,282	9,240	330,896	11,178
1989	429	61,868,543	113,236,964	263,802	8,923	336,241	11,275
1990	425	65,874,250	115,893,905	272,692	9,123	340,333	11,379
1991	418	74,231,925	125,178,091	299,828	9,853	341,663	11,308
1992	409	74,814,223	122,811,785	300,273	9,771	342,012	11,402
1993	418	77,896,106	124,576,854	297,853	9,972	342,314	11,543
1994	432	83,936,539	131,374,774	304,108	10,580	349,574	, 11,793
1995	433	87,991,337	134,729,263	311,153	10,919	356,788	12,029
1996	440	95,736,591	143,718,391	326,633	11,598	357,708	12,294
1997	434	197,151,474	289,797,451	667,352	23,367	376,016	12,495
1998	427	122,802,390	178,489,745	418,499	14,456	378,426	12,710
1999	414	108,266,381	155,815,230	376,138	12,593	404,875	13,239
2000	411	108,590,143	153,028,475	372,332	12,402	412,564	13,298
2001	410	112,448,821	154,728,228	377,156	12,620	413,506	13,339
2002	410	107,648,183	146,334,055	356,912	11,993	415,051	13,184
2003	391	106,931,324	142,670,634	364,887	11,807	433,650	13,035
2004	380	107,777,632	140,911,287	370,575	11,699	441,149	12,906
2005	382	107,395,006	136,789,988	357,855	11,292	439,335	12,830
2006	378	116,027,148	143,348,987	379,732	11,765	450,918	12,925
2007	395	117,386,209	141,711,110	358,990	11,548	442,237	12,773
2008	398	117,811,916	138,011,657	347,199	11,145	442,954	12,887
2009	417	127,541,196	147,720,602	354,246	11,830	434,644	12,850
2010	408	120,485,261	137,995,384	338,017	11,022	417,088	12,028
2011	399	116,535,197	131,142,894	328,473	10,462	432,600	12,107
2011	395	120,951,969	132,805,958	336,431	10,564	441,036	12,348
2012	392	121,712,716	131,640,232	336,031	10,447	435,402	12,202
2013	378	120,334,328	128,296,273	339,858	10,164	452,587	12,324
2014 2015	378	125,153,507	132,411,100	350,294	10,104	432,387 471,222	12,324
2015	378	126,461,552	133,118,565	348,706	10,740	471,222 478,872	12,743
2010	371	125,201,866	129,815,780	348,700 349,672	10,740	478,872	12,937
2017	375	133,502,532	135,819,676	362,669	10,401	475,534	12,878
2019	379	144,856,166	144,856,166	382,206	11,806	468,399	12,731

## Local Economic Trends


### Population

Population change is a key factor influencing local retail sales performance. Population gains or losses from year to year directly impact the number of potential shoppers in the region.

In the longer term, population trends also reflect the region's general economic climate. Population growth or stability suggest a more favorable retail environment than population decline, which may signify erosion in the region's economic vitality.



#### Figure 5 compares the local population trend to the average experience for similarly-sized counties in lowa. See Pages 23-24 for a listing of lowa counties by peer group.



Iowa State University

### Employment

Area job growth creates earnings opportunities for current residents and also helps to attract new residents to the region. Conversely, lagging employment growth rates may indicate a decline in the region's competitive strength. Figure 6 shows recent county and state employment trends

Rising or persistently high levels of unemployment may contribute to household economic stress within the region and may ultimately reduce aggregate household spending levels. Figure 7 illustrates recent unemployment rates for the county and state.






Figure 7 shows recent county and statewide unemployment rate trends. The unemployment rate is defined as the percentage of the resident labor force that is unemployed but actively seeking work.



### Personal Income


Local income levels influence the amounts and types of retail goods and services that area residents demand. Wages and salaries typically comprise the largest portion of local personal income. Other major sources include proprietors' income, investment income, and transfer payments from governments.

Social Security, food assistance, and other government transfers help to stabilize local income levels. A comparatively high dependence on transfer payments, however, suggests that households with low or fixed incomes comprise a larger than average share of the local customer base.



#### Figure 9 shows average transfer payment receipts by county and state residents. These payments include Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, unemployment insurance, food assistance, and other income supports.





### Income and Age Distributions

Consumer spending behaviors tend to vary by age, income, and other personal characteristics. If the distribution of local residents by income or age deviate strongly from statewide averages, one might expect local spending patterns to differ from the typical spending patterns of lowa residents.

Table 3 shows thecounty's medianhousehold income leveland estimated povertyrate compared to thestate. In counties with alower median incomelevel, a higher povertyrate, or both, lower-than-average householdspending levels may beanticipated locally.

#### Table 3. Union County Income and Poverty

Median Household Income (\$)	Union		State of Iowa
Estimate	49,887	<	60,071
90% Confidence Interval	45,610 - 54,160		59,230 - 60,920
Poverty Rate (%)	Union		State of Iowa
Estimate	13.4	>	11.2

#### Table 4 illustrates the percentage distribution of the county's population by age group, relative to the comparable statewide percentages. Strong differences in the regional age distribution likely affect both the mix and levels of retail goods and services demanded by area residents.

#### Table 4. Union County Age Distribution

Population (% of total)	Union		State of Iowa
Under 5 years	5.4%	<	6.3%
Age 5 to 17	16.8%	<	16.9%
Age 18 to 24	9.4%	<	10.1%
Age 65 years and over	20.6%	>	17.1%
Median age	41.3	>	0.0

#### > Higher than state

< Lower than state

## **Retail Performance Measures**

### Peer Group Comparisons

Iowa's 99 counties and their trade centers vary in the level and types of retail activity they can support. In general, retail sector size and diversity tend to increase with community size. Other determining factors include the proximity and size of competing trade centers in neighboring counties and the overall population density in the region. Counties that are similar across these dimensions serve as useful benchmarks for gauging local retail performance.

This report assigns all counties in Iowa to peer groups based on their population size and urbanization characteristics. **Table 5** contains peer group definitions. The relevant peer group for the county is highlighted in blue (see Pages 23-24 for a complete list of counties by peer group). **Figure 10** compares the average sales performance of all county peer groups during the most recent fiscal year.

Table 5.	Peer Group Definitions	Number of	% of State
Group	Metropolitan or Micropolitan Status	Counties	Taxable Sales
Group 1	Core county of a metropolitan statistical area	10	64.8%
Group 2	Core county of a micropolitan statistical area	17	13.7%
Group 3	Non-metro county whose largest city is between 2,500 to 9,999 in population	41	14.5%
Group 4	Outlying (non-core) county in a metropolitan statistical area	11	4.3%
Group 5	Non-metro county whose largest city is less than 2,500 in population	20	2.8%

#### Table 5. Peer Group Definitions



#### Figure 10. Average Sales Per Capita by County Peer Group, FY2019

## Retail Performance Measures (continued)

This section illustrates how the county's recent per capita sales levels compare to typical and top values among counties in its peer group.

### **Expected Range for Local Sales Per Capita**

Figure 11 compares county sales levels to a range of "expected," or typical, values for peer group counties. The blue rectangles illustrate the range of expected values, defined as any value between the 25th to the 75th percentile for the peer group in each year. The red dashes show the actual local per capita sales performance.



## Figure 11. Expected and Actual Sales Per Capita (\$)

### Peer Group Rankings

#### Table 6 identifies

the top performers in the county's peer group, as measured by sales per capita. Statewide and peer group averages are also provided, along with the county's ranking among its peers.

See pages 23-24 for a listing of all counties in the peer group.

#### Table 6. Per Capita Sales Comparisons

Area Name	FY2019
State of Iowa	\$12,731
Peer group average	9,136
Union (#5/41 in peer group)	11,806

#### Peer Group Top 10

Palo Alto	12,701
Iowa	12,474
Cass	12,465
Winneshiek	12,213
Union	11,806
Sioux	11,787
Appanoose	11,191
Clarke	11,023
Hancock	10,580
Kossuth	10,496

This section introduces three related retail performance measures that are based on a hypothetical "self-sufficiency," or "break-even" level of sales at which the county satisfies all of the retail needs of its own residents (see definition on Page 17). At the break-even level, any sales lost from residents' shopping elsewhere are exactly offset by local sales to non-residents.

## Trade Surplus or Leakage


Trade surplus or leakage measures the dollar difference between the county's actual sales and its breakeven sales target. Table 7 shows inflation-adjusted estimates of sales surplus or leakage in \$ millions.

Union County	FY2010	FY2011	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	FY2017	FY2018	FY2019
Statewide average spending per person (\$)	12,028	12,107	12,348	12,202	12,324	12,745	12,937	12,878	12,722	12,731
x local income adjustment	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
= Annual retail needs of local residents (\$)	11,467	11,540	11,767	11,626	11,740	12,138	12,318	12,260	12,108	12,114
x County population estimate	12,520	12,535	12,571	12,601	12,622	12,529	12,395	12,410	12,379	12,270
Breakeven sales target (\$ millions)	143.6	144.7	147.9	146.5	148.2	152.1	152.7	152.1	149.9	148.6
County actual sales (\$ millions)	138.0	131.1	132.8	131.6	128.3	132.4	133.1	129.8	135.8	144.9
Estimated surplus (+) or leakage (-)	-5.6	-13.5	-15.1	-14.9	-19.9	-19.7	-19.6	-22.3	-14.1	-3.8

#### Table 7. Breakeven Analysis

### Trade Area Capture

The extent of a county's "trade area" can be approximated by converting its sales from dollars into annual customer equivalents. If the customer metric exceeds the resident population, the county's geographic trade area likely extends beyond its borders. If below, the trade area likely overlaps or is subsumed by that of a nearby county. Figure 12 illustrates the county's estimated trade area capture (TAC) relative to its population size (POP).






## Retail Performance Measures (continued)

### The Pull Factor Ratio

A pull factor ratio describes the size of a city's retail customer base in relation to its own population size. The ratio is derived by dividing the estimated trade area capture value by the number of county residents.

Pull factors can vary widely from one county to the next, but they should be somewhat comparable among peer counties. **Figure 13** shows recent trends in pull factor ratios for the county and its peer group. The county's pull factor values are indicated with red circles. The blue dashes indicate the median pull factor for the peer group in each year.



#### Figure 13. Pull Factor Comparison With Peer Group

#### **Interpreting Pull Factors**

= 1.0	A pull factor ratio equal to 1.0 suggests that the county's merchants are just satisfying the retail demands of local residents. This is equivalent to the "break even" sales level where the county is experiencing neither a surplus or leakage of sales.
. 1.0	A pull factor ratio greater than 1.0 suggests that the county's merchants are attracting shoppers from outside the county. For example, a county whose retail customer base is 25 percent larger than its population would have a pull factor of 1.25.
> 1.0	A high pull factor may send a false signal of retail strength. Pull factors may be inflated by the presence of one or more businesses that serve as a regional draw in a particular sales category, even if substantial sales leakage is occurring in other local retail segments.
	A pull factor ratio less than 1.0 indicates that the county's retail sector cannot satisfy all of the retail needs of its own residents.
< 1.0	A low pull factor does not necessarily indicate untapped sales potential in the local retail sector. Most smaller counties should expect to lose at least some fraction of their residents' spending to larger regional and metropolitan trade centers.

## Retail Performance Measures (continued)


### Sales Performance by Business Group

Areas of strength or weakness in the local retail sector may be evident from the sales levels in specific types of businesses. This section examines county-level sales across 12 broad categories of retail firms (see Table 11 on Pages 21-22 for business group definitions).

To avoid misinterpreting the data, readers should note the following:

- The tabulations by business group reflect the type of *firm* where a retail transaction occurred, but do not necessarily identify the specific type of *merchandise* that was sold.
- Sales for the Food Dealers group exclude most foods purchased for home consumption. Firms in this group include grocery stores, specialty grocers, and convenience stores. Gasoline stations with convenience stores are also included; however, their gasoline sales are excluded.
- The Automotive group includes auto parts stores, recreational and other motorized vehicle dealers, and new and used car dealers. Sales data for this group exclude automobile sales, which are taxed separately via registration fees.
- The Wholesale group includes wholesale firms that also engage in retail sales. Sales data for this group describe only their retail transactions.

**Figure 14** compares per capita sales in the county to a group median value for all of Iowa's metropolitan or non-metropolitan counties, whichever applies. The county's data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.



#### Figure 14. Business Group Sales Per Capita

### **Business Group Summary**

**Table 8** provides multiple measures of county sales by business group, including total taxable sales, theannualized number of reporting firms, and annual averages for sales per firm and sales per person.Benchmark values for the state and peer counties are also provided.

County data are suppressed for any business groups that did not meet a minimum threshold for number of reporting firms.

### Table 8. Union County Taxable Sales Summary by Business Group

Business Group Totals and Averages	Union Cour	nty FY19 Totals	Average Sales Per Firm (\$)		
	Total Taxable	Reporting	Union	State of	
Type of Firm	Sales (\$)	Firms	County	lowa	
Apparel Stores				602,183	
Building Materials Stores	4,734,598	11	450,914	2,140,463	
Eating and Drinking Establishments	13,859,977	31	450,731	580,536	
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	15,421,348	13	1,186,258	1,181,511	
General Merchandise Stores				5,845,838	
Home Furnishings Stores	2,568,043	8	321,005	880,390	
Specialty Retail Stores	7,789,307	63	123,640	218,700	
Service Establishments	12,987,313	144	90,190	179,277	
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	4,987,344	57	88,272	269,736	
Automotive and Related Stores	8,430,278	14	613,111	899,706	
Utilities and Transportation Services	13,164,845	14	957,443	996,708	
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	12,010,790	17	696,278	942,326	

Per Capita Comparisons	Union County	Averages (\$)	FY19 Benchmark Values (\$)		
	real average		Non-Metro	State of	
Type of Firm	FY16 - FY18	FY19	Median	lowa	
Apparel Stores			85	313	
Building Materials Stores	472	386	443	930	
Eating and Drinking Establishments	1,143	1,130	757	1,436	
Food Stores (excluding non-taxable food items)	1,260	1,257	1,140	1,154	
General Merchandise Stores			1,510	1,464	
Home Furnishings Stores	197	209	152	374	
Specialty Retail Stores	356	635	466	979	
Service Establishments	1,131	1,058	1,136	1,850	
Miscellaneous Retail Firms	477	406	860	1,078	
Automotive and Related Stores	623	687	454	649	
Utilities and Transportation Services	542	1,073	737	1,261	
Retail Sales by Wholesale Firms	1,444	979	751	1,242	

Note: **Table 11** on Pages 21-22 lists the specific types of firms in each business group and provides the statewide distribution of tax returns, total sales, and per capita sales by detailed business type.

## **Regional Competition**

This section explores some of the competitive forces at work within the region. First described is the county's role as a trade center within the state. Next, broader regional trade patterns are illustrated using comparative sales measures in nearby counties. Finally, commuting data help to identify sources and destinations of local workers.

## **County Shares**


**Figure 15** illustrates the county's contributions as a trade, population, and employment center within the state. The county's percentage share of statewide taxable sales is contrasted with its shares of population and jobs.

**Table 9** lists cities within thecounty that reported taxablesales activity during the mostrecent fiscal year.

Values for unincorporated areas and for cities with 10 or fewer sales tax permitholders are suppressed; however, sales data for those localities are included within the county totals.

Values for any cities marked with an asterisk (\*) include neighboring county residents and retailers who fall within the city limits; consequently, the sum of values for all cities listed in Table 7 may exceed reported totals for the county.

#### Figure 15. Union County Shares of State Totals



#### Table 9. Reporting Jurisdictions in Union County

		Average	Sales
Area Name	Population	# Filers	\$millions
Union Total	12,270	379	144.9
Afton	817	56	7.0
Creston	7,732	292	135.2


## Regional Competition (continued)

## **Neighboring Area Sales**

Regional shopping patterns may be inferred from relative trade levels in surrounding counties.

**Figure 16** compares county per capita sales to averages in neighboring counties.


The comparison group includes the five nearest counties as measured from their geographic midpoints. The counties are listed in descending order by their average per capita sales. Population sizes for each county, as of the 2010 Census, are also indicated.



#### Figure 16. Per Capita Retail Sales (\$)

Figure 17 illustrates county retail pull factors (see Page 11 for a definition of pull factors). The counties with a pull factor exceeding 1.0, identified in the map with large blue dots, are likely exerting a strong retail influence on trade centers in neighboring counties. Counties with pull factors below 1.0 are leaking sales on a county-wide basis, but might still contain one or more strong local trade centers.

Figure 17. County Pull Factors



## Regional Competition (continued)


## **Commuting Patterns**

The daily exchange of workers with surrounding areas add or subtracts to the county's potential retail customer base. The sources and destinations of commuting flows can reveal regional economic dependencies that influence local retail conditions.

#### **Figure 18** summarizes the estimated daily worker flows to and from the county.

All commuting estimates on this page describe flows of workers in wage and salary positions only. Self-employed workers are excluded.





#### Table 10. Union County Commuting Probabilities

		Peer
Number	% of total	average
3,163	53.8%	47.4%
2,711	46.2%	52.6%
634	10.8%	
2,077	35.4%	
3,163	51.6%	54.1%
2,966	48.4%	45.9%
329	5.4%	
2,637	43.0%	
	3,163 2,711 634 2,077 3,163 2,966 329	3,163         53.8%           2,711         46.2%           634         10.8%           2,077         35.4%           3,163         51.6%           2,966         48.4%           329         5.4%

Table 10 showscommutingprobabilities for localresidents and workers.The table alsoidentifies the topworkplace destinationfor local residents andtop county supplyingworkers to localemployers.

Average commuting rates in peer counties are included for comparison.

## Data Notes

## Iowa's Retail Sales Tax Reporting

The state of Iowa imposes a six percent tax on the gross receipts from sales of taxable tangible personal property and taxable services.

Retailers file sales tax returns to the Iowa Department of Revenue on a semi-monthly, monthly, quarterly, or annual basis depending on their amount of sales.

The Department of Revenue compiles the data from sales tax returns and publishes quarterly and annual retail sales tax reports that provide the primary source of data for this report.

The state's reporting does not include retail transactions that are exempt from the statewide sales tax. Consequently, this report describes only taxable, not total, retail sales. Several types of exempt activity are listed on the following page.

Occasional anomalies may arise in retail sales data reported at the local level. For example, the locations of specific firms may not precisely align with the administrative jurisdictions used for tax reporting purposes. Such discrepancies may result in under-statement or overstatement of actual local retail sales activity.

When analyzing trends, users should note that changes in lowa's retail sales tax laws or changes in administrative or accounting practices may affect the comparability of taxable sales data over time.

Other cautions for using taxable sales data to analyze local retail performance are listed at right. Users seeking more detailed information are encouraged to consult the lowa Department of Revenue's website at <u>https://tax.iowa.gov/</u>.

#### Cautions and Limitations for Interpreting Reported Sales Data

- Non-Taxable Goods & Services. Because certain goods and services are exempt from the statewide sales tax, the sales information presented in this report provides only a partial picture of retail and service sector activity in lowa's communities.
- E-commerce Sales. Neither the volume of e-commerce purchases by lowa residents nor e-commerce sales by lowa retailers are currently measurable.
- Large Public Institutions. The presence of large public institutions such as correctional facilities or universities may distort local sales measures, as their institutional purchases are excluded from taxable sales but their residents are included in local population estimates.
- Sales or Service Territories. Sales levels in some cities may be inflated by the administrative presence of firms serving a much larger geographic service territory, such as rural telecommunications and other cable or internet service providers.
- Non-Disclosure Rules. To avoid disclosing information traceable to specific firms, the Iowa Department of Revenue only reports data from localities with 10 or more tax returns filed per quarter or 40 returns per year. Sales data for areas below this threshold are grouped into a "remainder of county" value.

## Data Notes (continued)

## Notable Exclusions from Iowa's Retail Sales Tax

The retail data analyzed for this report only capture transactions that are subject to lowa's statewide sales tax. In general, merchandise goods are taxable unless specifically exempted, whereas services are exempted from the tax unless specifically enumerated by the state.

Following are several types of sales activity that are **not** covered by this report.

**Exempt or Excluded Goods.** Some of the goods not subject to the sales tax include:

- Certain foods for home consumption
- Prescription drugs and medical devices
- New or used cars and other vehicles, as they are taxed separately under the state's one-time registration fee.
- Gasoline, which is subject to a separate fuel tax

**Exempt Services.** Unlike tangible goods, services are exempt from tax unless specifically enumerated. Many professional services such as medical and legal services are exempt from the sales tax.

Sales to Tax-Exempt Organizations. Local and state government entities are exempt from the sales tax. Sales to private nonprofit educational institutions for educational purposes are also exempt. Sales from fundraising activities are exempt from sales tax if the proceeds are used for educational, religious, or charitable purposes. Internet/Catalog Sales. Prior to 2019, many outof-state purchases by Iowa residents were untaxed. Iowa implemented regulatory changes on July 1, 2019, to require collection of sales taxes on residents' purchases from firms without a physical presence in Iowa but who generate \$100,000 or more in gross revenues from Iowa sales.

**Sales to Agriculture.** Sales tax exemptions for agriculture apply to the purchase of feed, seed, fertilizer, farm machinery and equipment, fuels and utilities, and some services.

**Utilities.** The state has phased out taxes on sales of metered gas, electricity, and fuel used as energy in residential dwellings, apartment units and condominiums. This phase-out was completed by 2006. Specific exemptions for utilities may also apply to certain businesses and industries.

Sales to Manufacturing and Other Industries. The state exempts sales of many goods and services that are used as inputs to industrial processes. Exemptions to manufacturing include purchases of tangible inputs that become an integral part of manufactured goods ultimately sold at retail; fuels, chemicals, and other inputs that are consumed during production processes; industrial machinery, equipment, and some computer equipment; and many services.

The state has created additional exemptions targeted toward specific industries such as wind energy and information technology. See the Iowa Department of Revenue Web site for more detailed information.

More detailed information about Iowa's sales tax is available from the Iowa Department of Revenue at <u>https://tax.iowa.gov/iowa-sales-and-use-tax-guide</u>

## Definitions of Retail Measures

**Retail Sales**. This term refers to the reported sales of goods and services that are subject to lowa's retail sales tax. Iowa's current sales tax rate is 6 percent.

**Fiscal Year**. Iowa's annual sales tax reports reflect a July 1 - June 30 fiscal year period.

**Reporting Firms**. This value reflects the average number of tax returns filed each quarter during the year, and it serves as a proxy for the number of local retail firms.

**Nominal Sales**. Nominal sales are the dollar amounts as reported in the year the transactions actually took place. These values have not been adjusted for inflation.

**Real Sales**. "Real" dollar values have been standardized to reflect the purchasing power of a dollar in the current fiscal year, thus removing the effects of price inflation.

**Business Group Sales.** The state reports countylevel taxable sales data for 12 business groups. The data reflect the business classification of the firms making the sales, not the specific goods and services that they sold.

**Sales Per Firm**. Per firm sales are calculated by dividing the annual dollar value of sales by the average number of reporting firms in that year.

**Sales Per Capita**. Per capita (or "per person") sales are calculated by dividing the dollar value of sales by the estimated population for the subject place. No distinctions are made among residents of households, educational institutions, nursing homes, or other group quarters in the calculation of per capita sales and related indicators.

**Expected Per Capita Spending**. An expected value for residents' average spending on taxable retail goods and services provides the basis for breakeven sales, trade surplus and leakage, trade area capture, and pull factor values. This measure is sensitive to local income levels. For more information about its derivation, please contact the author.

#### Self-Sufficiency (or Breakeven) Level of Sales.

This hypothetical value describes the amount of sales that would be generated if the county's retailers (1) served only local residents and (2) satisfied all of those residents' retail needs. It is equivalent to the total estimated spending by residents on taxable goods and services purchased anywhere within Iowa. To derive this value, the dollar amount of statewide average per capita spending on taxable goods and services is adjusted up or down by a factor that reflects local income characteristics, and is then multiplied by the county's population size.

**Trade Surplus or Leakage**. Trade surplus or leakage measures the dollar difference between the county's actual sales and its breakeven sales level.

**Trade Area Capture**. Trade area capture translates local retail sales from dollars to annual customer equivalents. It is estimated by dividing the county's actual total sales by the expected per capita average spending of residents.

**Pull Factor Ratio**. A county's pull factor ratio is calculated by dividing its trade area capture measure by its resident population.

## Other Data Sources and Notes

**Population**: Population values in this report describe the estimated, average number of residents during a given fiscal year. The estimates are based on data released annually through the Population Estimates Program, U.S. Census Bureau. The Census Bureau's published estimates, which reflect the population on July 1<sup>st</sup> of each year, may differ from the average values appearing in this report.

With each of its annual data releases, the U.S. Census Bureau may revise its estimates from prior years. This report incorporates the most recently available estimates and revisions. As a consequence, population-based statistics published in this report may not reconcile with those appearing in earlier retail trade analysis reports. In most cases, the discrepancies are minor.

#### City-to-County Assignments: The

incorporated territory of many lowa cities crosses the boundaries of two or more counties. For this report, all cities are assigned to the county that contained the greatest percentage of its population in the 2010 Census.

**Commuting Flows**: Local Employment Dynamics Program, U.S. Census Bureau. These commuting flows describe the place of work and place of residence of wage and salary workers in . Self-employed individuals such as sole proprietors and partners are excluded from these data. **Employment**: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (annual) and U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (monthly). Employment includes fulltime and part-time wage and salary jobs, with all jobs counted equally.

**Unemployment**: Local Area Unemployment Statistics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Average Wages and Salaries per Job: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

**Transfer Payments per Capita:** U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

#### Median Household Income and Poverty: Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates, U.S. Census Bureau.

**Price Deflators**: Except where otherwise noted in this report, the dollar values for all retail sales and personal income data have been adjusted for inflation using the Implicit Price Deflator for Personal Consumption Expenditures published by the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis.

## Table 11. Business Group Definitions (page 1 of 2)

Business Group	Number of Returns	Percent of Returns	Taxable Sales	Per Capita Sales
Apparel Group				
Clothing and Clothing Accessories Stores	5,699	1.7	\$832,931,221	\$264
Shoe Stores	853	0.3	\$153,445,151	\$49
Group Totals	6,552	1.9	\$986,376,372	\$313
Building Materials Group				
Building Material Dealers	1,610	0.5	\$2,123,851,271	\$674
Garden Supply Stores	1,998	0.6	\$413,652,008	\$131
Hardware Stores	1,167	0.3	\$275,998,598	\$88
Mobile Home Dealers	167	0.1	\$6,185,666	\$2
Paint and Glass Stores	535	0.2	\$111,141,666	\$35
Group Totals	5,477	1.6	\$2,930,829,209	\$930
Eating and Drinking Group				
Restaurants, Taverns, and Bars	31,196	9.1	\$4,527,601,584	\$1,436
Group Totals	31,196	8.8	\$4,527,601,584	\$1,436
Food Deplote Group				
Food Dealers Group Gas Stations/Convenience Stores Selling Gas	6,784	2.0	¢1 752 135 115	\$556
Grocery Stores and Convenience Stores	3,943	1.2	\$1,752,135,115 \$1,828,509,396	\$550 \$580
Specialized Groceries	1,590	0.5	\$57,522,673	\$18
Group Totals	12,317	3.6	\$3,638,167,184	\$1,154
Conorol Morehandias Crown				
General Merchandise Group Department Stores	648	0.2	\$2,899,566,505	\$920
Miscellaneous Merchandise Stores	2,280	0.2	\$1,701,209,150	\$920 \$540
Variety Stores	2,200	0.1	\$13,051,913	\$4 \$4
Group Totals	3,157	0.9	\$4,613,827,568	\$1,464
Home Euroichings And Applicates Crown				
Home Furnishings And Appliances Group Appliances and Entertainment Equipment	2,099	0.6	\$457,528,710	\$145
Furniture Stores	1,523	0.0	\$427,743,342	\$145 \$136
Home Furnishing Stores	1,738	0.5	\$294,450,210	\$93
Group Totals	5,360	1.6	\$1,179,722,262	\$374
Missellenseus Group				
Miscellaneous Group Agricultural Production and Services	14,350	4.2	\$498,975,057	\$158
Apparel and Textile Manufacturers	90	0.0	\$4,682,672	\$130 \$1
Carpentry Contractors	1,452	0.4	\$48,745,963	\$15
Electrical Contractors	3,399	1.0	\$170,662,243	\$54
Food Manufacturers	1,001	0.3	\$110,801,520	\$35
Furniture, Wood and Paper Manufacturers	1,167	0.3	\$161,209,518	\$51
General Contractors	5,355	1.6	\$210,022,341	\$67
Industrial Equipment Manufacturers	1,624	0.5	\$398,292,759	\$126
Mining Miscellaneous Manufacturers	1,286	0.4	\$197,678,682 \$142,200,551	\$63 \$45
Non-Metallic Product Manufacturers	2,456 1,859	0.7 0.5	\$143,299,551 \$427,390,054	\$45 \$136
Other Special Trade Contractors	4,590	1.3	\$325,574,195	\$103
Painting Contractors	2,468	0.7	\$35,623,371	\$11
Plumbing and Heating Contractors	5,150	1.5	\$475,089,413	\$151
Publishers Of Books and Newspapers and Commercial Printers	1,224	0.4	\$76,845,599	\$24
Unclassified	2,936	0.9	\$114,255,295	\$36
Group Totals	50,407	14.7	\$3,399,148,233	\$1,078
Motor Vehicle Group				
Automotive Parts and Accessories	4,810	1.4	\$726,778,297	\$231
New and Used Car Dealers	2,934	0.9	\$1,050,213,464	\$333
Recreational and All Other Motorized Vehicles	1,345	0.4	\$267,365,159	\$85
Group Totals	9,089	2.7	\$2,044,356,920	\$649

## Table 11. Business Group Definitions (page 2 of 2)

Business Group	Number of Returns	Percent of Returns	Taxable Sales	Per Capita Sales
Services Group				
Arts and Entertainment	9,287	2.7	\$588,013,185	\$187
Auto Rental and Storage	4,588	1.3	\$363,910,933	\$115
Auto Repair	16,924	4.9	\$1,188,977,539	\$377
Beauty/Barber Shops	26,597	7.8	\$431,857,871	\$137
Education and Athletic Events	1,595	0.5	\$93,381,121	\$30
Electronic and Precision Equipment Repair and Maintenance	1,726	0.5	\$68,393,437	\$22
Employment Services	516	0.2	\$31,618,871	\$10
Finance, Insurance, Real Estate and Leasing	4,756	1.4	\$129,630,650	\$41
Footwear and Leather Repair	119	0.0	\$2,583,168	\$1
Funeral Service and Crematories	1,308	0.4	\$60,651,730	\$19
Hotels and All Other Lodging Places	8,394	2.4	\$1,091,324,582	\$346
Laundry and Floor Cleaning	2,222	0.6	\$111,191,763	\$35
Miscellaneous Repairs	7,700	2.2	\$208,564,402	\$66
Motion Picture and Video Industries	3,168	0.9	\$222,194,199	\$70
Other Business Services	16,221	4.7	\$803,048,154	\$255
Other Personal Services	10,630	3.1	\$280,797,275	\$89
Other Services	8,334	2.4	\$100,880,643	\$32
Photographic Studios	5,125	1.5	\$46,223,501	\$15
Upholstery and Furniture Repair	760	0.2	\$5,715,996	\$2
Watch, Clock, Jewelry Repair	119	0.0	\$1,532,411	\$0
Group Totals	130,089	38.0	\$5,830,491,431	\$1,850
Specialty Retail Stores Group				<b></b>
Beauty and Health (Includes Pharmacies and Drug Stores)	3,341	1.0	\$507,631,493	\$161
Book and Stationery Stores	1,345	0.4	\$143,675,957	\$46
Direct Sellers	3,921	1.1	\$125,320,447	\$40
Electronic Shopping and Mail Order Houses	950	0.3	\$8,747,952	\$3
Florists	1,410	0.4	\$43,340,942	\$14
Fuel and Ice Dealers	97	0.0	\$7,088,601	\$2
Hobby and Toy	6,767	2.0	\$192,624,816	\$61
	1,850	0.5	\$179,477,462	\$57
Liquor Stores	876	0.3	\$81,893,997	\$26
Other Specialty	24,028	7.0	\$1,080,529,487	\$343
Sporting Goods	4,715	1.4	\$519,996,903	\$165
Stationery, Gift, Novelty Used Merchandise Stores	2,097	0.6	\$58,036,931 \$77,614,478	\$18 \$25
	4,086	1.2 0.3	\$77,614,478 \$61,070,745	\$25 \$10
Vending Machine Operators	979 56,462	16.5	\$61,079,745	\$19 \$979
Group Totals	50,402	10.5	\$3,087,059,211	4919
Utilities and Transportation Group				
Communications	3,999	1.2	\$1,389,786,930	\$441
Electric and Gas	1,483	0.4	\$1,554,356,922	\$493
Transportation and Warehousing	3,698	1.1	\$219,506,021	\$70
Water and Sanitation	6,774	2.0	\$811,721,475	\$258
Group Totals	15,954	4.7	\$3,975,371,348	\$1,261
Wholesale Goods Group				
Apparel, Piece Goods	59	0.0	\$765,837	\$0
Construction Materials	3,380	1.0	\$1,720,950,477	\$546
Farm and Garden Equipment	5,297	1.5	\$1,323,204,283	\$420
Furniture and Home Furnishings	176	0.1	\$83,117,679	\$26
Groceries and Farm Products	601	0.2	\$74,268,333	\$24
Miscellaneous Durable Goods	676	0.2	\$78,105,117	\$25
Miscellaneous Non-Durable Goods	5,921	1.7	\$564,903,295	\$179
Motor Vehicle Parts and Supplies	505	0.1	\$68,870,161	\$22
Group Totals	16,615	4.8	\$3,914,185,182	\$1,242
State Totals	342,675	100.0	\$40,127,136,504	\$12,731

## Table 12. Peer County Groupings and 2010 Population Sizes (page 1 of 2)

		2010 Population	Metropolitan or Micropolitan Area
	Black Hawk		Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Dallas	. 66,135	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Dubuque	-	Dubuque, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Johnson		Iowa City, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
Pol Pot Scc	Linn	,	Cedar Rapids, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Polk	,	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Pottawattamie		Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Scott	,	Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Story		Ames, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Woodbury		Sioux City, IA-NE-SD Metropolitan Statistical Area
	ŕ	,	
	Boone	. 26,306	Boone, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Buena Vista	. 20,260	Storm Lake, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Carroll	. 20,816	Carroll, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Cerro Gordo	. 44,151	Mason City, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Clay	. 16,667	Spencer, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Clinton	. 49,116	Clinton, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Des Moines	. 40,325	Burlington, IA-IL Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Dickinson	. 16,667	Spirit Lake, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
2	Jasper	. 36,842	Newton, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Jefferson	. 16,843	Fairfield, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Lee	. 35,862	Fort Madison-Keokuk, IA-IL-MO Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Mahaska	. 22,381	Oskaloosa, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Marion	. 33,309	Pella, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Marshall	. 40,648	Marshalltown, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Muscatine	. 42,745	Muscatine, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Wapello	35,625	Ottumwa, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Webster	. 38,013	Fort Dodge, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Allamakee	. 14,330	None (not part of a metropolitan or micropolitan area)
	Appanoose	,	None
	Buchanan	-	None
	Cass	,	
	Cedar	,	None None
	Cedar	-,	None
	Chickasaw	,	None
	Clarke	-	None
	Clarke Crawford	,	None
	Delaware	,	None
2	Emmet	, -	None
2	Fayette	,	None
(continued			None
next page)	Floyd Franklin		
	Greene	,	None
	Hamilton	-	None
	Hamilton Hancock	,	None
	Hancock	-	None
		,	None
	Henry		None
	Howard Humboldt	-	None
		- /	None
	lowa	. 16,355	None

## Table 12. Peer City Groupings and 2010 Population Sizes (page 2 of 2)

	2	010 Population	Metropolitan or Micropolitan Area
	Jackson	19,848	None
	Kossuth	15,543	None
	Lucas	8,898	None
	Mitchell	10,776	None
	Monona	9,243	None
	Monroe	7,970	None
	Montgomery	10,740	None
	O'Brien	14,398	None
3	Osceola	6,462	None
(continued	Page	15,932	None
com previous	Palo Alto	9,421	None
page)	Poweshiek	18,914	None
puge)	Shelby	12,167	None
	Sioux	33,704	None
	Tama	17,767	None
	Union	12,534	None
	Winnebago	10,866	None
	Winneshiek	21,056	None
	Wright	13,229	None
		13,223	
	Benton	26,076	Cedar Rapids, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Bremer	24,276	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Grundy	12,453	Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Guthrie	10,954	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Harrison	14,928	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Jones	20,638	Cedar Rapids, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
4	Madison	15,679	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Mills	15,059	Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Plymouth	24,986	Sioux City, IA-NE-SD Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Warren	46,225	Des Moines-West Des Moines, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
	Washington	21,704	Iowa City, IA Metropolitan Statistical Area
		,	
	Adair	7,682	None
	Adams	4,029	None
	Audubon	6,119	None
	Butler	14,867	None
	Calhoun	9,670	None
	Clayton	18,129	None
	Davis	8,753	Ottumwa, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area
	Decatur	8,457	None
	Fremont	7,441	None
	Ida	7,089	None
5	Keokuk	10,511	None
	Louisa	11,387	None
	Lyon	11,581	None
	Pocahontas	7,310	None
	Ringgold	5,131	None
	Sac		
		10,350	None
	Taylor	6,317	None
	Van Buren	7,570	None
	14/	C 100	News
	Wayne Worth	6,403 7,598	None Mason City, IA Micropolitan Statistical Area

## Data Notes (continued)

Iowa State University Department of Economics

For more information about this report, please contact:

Liesl Eathington 175 Heady Hall Iowa State University Ames, Iowa 50011

Phone: 515-294-2954 E-mail: <u>leathing@iastate.edu</u>

Find these retail reports and other economic and demographic profiles of Iowa's communities online at:

#### www.icip.iastate.edu



## **Frequently Asked Questions**

Are business group sales data available at the city level? Subject to disclosure limitations to protect the confidentiality of local firms, city-level data for up to 12 business groups may be available upon request from the Iowa Department of Revenue.

Why do historical data in this report differ from previously-published ISU retail reports? The underlying population and income data used in this report are subject to backward revision by the U.S. Census Bureau and sister agencies, meaning that historical data are revised as new information becomes available. Any revisions to population and income estimates may result in re-statement of per capita retail sales, pull factors, and related measures for prior years. This report incorporates the most recently-revised statistics, and no effort is made to reconcile the historical data with prior versions of the ISU Retail Trade Analysis reports.

Are the retail sales statistics fully comparable over time? No. Changes to lowa's statewide sales tax laws have redefined the mix of goods and services comprising taxable sales transactions over time.

At the local level, changes in the geographic or business group assignments of specific firms may also complicate trend analysis. Notably, the Iowa Department of Revenue in FY2014 reassigned more than 10 percent of Iowa's retailers to different business class codes that better reflect their business focus. A significant change was the reclassification of gasoline stations with convenience stores from the automotive and related group to the food dealers group. These reclassifications should be considered when comparing sales by business group before and after FY 2014.

Are the pull factors and other retail measures adjusted for differences in local income? Yes. In calculating local pull factor ratios and estimating trade surplus/leakage values, this report incorporates small area income data available from the American Community Survey (ACS), U.S. Census Bureau. Contact the author for more detailed information about the methodology used for income adjustments.

### Acknowledgements

This project was supported with funding from the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station, the research program directed by the College of Agriculture and Life Sciences at Iowa State University.

In producing this report, we acknowledge the pioneering work of Kenneth E. Stone, now Professor Emeritus, in applied analysis of retail trade patterns in Iowa's cities and counties.

Iowa State University does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, age, ethnicity, religion, national origin, pregnancy, sexual orientation, gender identity, genetic information, sex, marital status, disability, or status as a U.S. Veteran. Inquiries regarding non-discrimination policies may be directed to Office of Equal Opportunity, 3410 Beardshear Hall, 515 Morrill Road, Ames, Iowa 50011, Tel. 515 294-7612, Hotline 515-294-1222, email eooffice@iastate.edu